



# Study of phytoplankton of Mothe River, Jagtial District, Telangana

Bhupalwar Srinivas R, Rajkumar K<sup>2</sup>, Chandrashekhar P<sup>2</sup> and Tamloorkar HL<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, Lal Bahadur Shastri Mahavidyalaya, Dharmabad, District. Nanded.

<sup>2</sup>P.G. and Research Department of Zoology, Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, Nanded, MS, India

\*Corresponding author Email: [h.tamlurkar@gmail.com](mailto:h.tamlurkar@gmail.com)

## Manuscript details:

Received: 29.02.2020  
Revised: 25.03.2020  
Accepted: 19.06.2020  
Published: 30.06.2020

Editor Dr. Arvind Chavhan

## Cite this article as:

Bhupalwar Srinivas R, Rajkumar K, Chandrashekhar P and Tamloorkar HL (2020) Study of phytoplankton of Mothe River, Jagtial District, Telangana. *Int. J. of Life Sciences*, Volume 8(2): 495-497.

Available online on <http://www.ijlsci.in>  
ISSN: 2320-964X (Online)  
ISSN: 2320-7817 (Print)



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## ABSTRACT

Phytoplankton which are present were in natural water bodies were studied. Phytoplankton such as Chlorophyceae, Cynophyceae, Basillariophyceae, Euglenophyceae were studied during year 2018-2019. In present investigation, above Phytoplankton were the indicators of water pollution.

**Keywords:** Phytoplankton, Chlorophyceae, Cynophyceae, Mothe River.

## INTRODUCTION

Phytoplanktons were studied from Mothe River, Taq. & District Jagtial, Telangana. Because of presence of Phytoplankton, there are changes of ecological status of Mothe River. Phytoplanktons were the indicator of biological indicators of water pollution. Some phytoplanktons like, *Chlorella*, *Chara*, *Closterium*, *Spirogyra* which are the parts of Palmer's list of sixty more pollution tolerant genera in the world (Palmer, 1969). Most of worker studied the periodicity and the distribution of algae in Indian fresh water bodies. Important contribution are Khan (1992), Jayabhaye (2010) studied on Phytoplankton diversity and stated that, the Clorophyceae form greenish scum on the surface of quite stagnant or grow firmly attached to rock, piece of wood and other object in water. Pawar *et al.* (2011), Negi *et al.* (2011) studied on diversity of phytoplankton and stated that the species diversity was recorded in the Clorophyceae 50% than the other species at stage I. Also Baba *et al.* (2014) Ganai *et al.* (2014) studied on phytoplankton community and observed that the minimum popullation density of Cyanophyceae were found in June and maximum in May. Present study of Phytoplankton species of Mothe River were studied to find out water pollution of Mothe River.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

For Phytoplankton analysis, samples were collected a period of one year from June-2018-May 2019. Planktons were collected from water samples in two liter plastic can and some crystal of iodine after 24 hours, 10 ml sedimented water samples were taken for Phytoplankton analysis by adding 4 % formalin for preservation and identification of Phytoplanktons carried out under microscope.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

In present investigation, Phytoplanktons were study from Mothe River water because of presence of Phytoplankton changes ecological status of the Mothe River. Different group of classes *Chlorophyceae*,

*Cynophyceae*, *Bacillariophyceae*. *Euglenophyceae*, *Cosmarium*, *Spirogyra*, *Ulothrix*, *Zygnema*, *Chara*, and *Nitrella* were observed through the year. The *Chlorella*, *Pediastrum*, *Scenedesmus* were observed during monsoon seasons. *Hydrodictyon* species were observed in month of June. The most important factor in controlling the population of Former (Lin,1972). In present study, *Bacillariophyceae* species such as *Diatom* occurs through the year. The occurrence of *Diatom* is responsible of various environmental changes (Patil, 1982).

Some species of *Cynophyceae* were observed that was *Anabaena*, *Nostoc*, *Oscillatoria* were studied through the year. *Microcystis* observed in monsoon season. The presence of *microcystis* was the indicators of toxic substances producing algal species.

**Table-1: Monthly Observations of Phytoplankton During 2018-2019 in Mothe River**

Phytoplankton	A				B				C			
	June	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
<b>A)Chlorophyceae</b>												
<i>Chlorella sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cosmarium sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Spirogyra sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Ulothrix sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Zygnema sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Chara sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Nitrella sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>B)Cyanophyceae</b>												
<i>Anabaena sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Nostoc sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Oscillator sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Microcystis sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>C)Bacillariophyceae</b>												
<i>Diatom sp.</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Navicula</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Pinnularia</i>	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Terbellaria</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Nitzschia</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Gyrosigma</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
<b>D) Euglenophyceae</b>												
<i>Euglenaphacus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

## CONCLUSION

From above observation, Phytoplanktos are the indicators of pollutions. So on the basis of this study, there is need to conservation of Mothe River.

## Conflict of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Bettina. C. Hitzfeld S., Huger and R.D., Daniel (2000). Cyanobacterial Toxin: Removal during water treatment and human risk assessment, *Env. Health Perspective*.
- Jayabhaye U.M., (2010) Studies on Phytoplankton Diversity in Sawana Dam, Maharashtra, India. *Shodh, Samiksha aur Mulyankan, International Research Journal, Vol. II (11-12)*, pp 40-42.
- Kedar G.K., Patil G.P. and Yeole S.M. (2008). Effect of physicochemical factors on the seasonal abundance of zooplankton population in Rishi Lake, proceeding on Taal: the 12<sup>th</sup> world lake conference: 88-91.
- More, Y. S. and S.N. Nandan (2003). Hydrobiological study of algae of Panzara dam, *Eco. Env. And Cons.* 9(3): 367-369.
- Palmer, C.M. (1969). A composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution, *J. phycology* 5: 78-82.
- Pulle J. S. (2000). Biomonitoring of Isapur dam water, Ph. D. thesis SRTM Uni., Nanded Walawalkar V. and N. S. Tekale (1999), Effects of Gypsum oading on Pinnate diatoms in Mausunda Lake, Thane City, Maharashtra, India, *J. Aqua. Biol* 14:3-5.