RESEARCH ARTICLE

Begait cattle production systems and production performances in northern Ethiopia

Gebretnsae Mezgebe1*, 3, Solomon Gizaw², Mengistu Urge³ and ⁴Arvind Chavhan ២

ABSTRACT

¹Department of Animal Sciences, Asosa University, P.O. Box: 18, Asosa, Ethiopia ² International Livestock Research Institute, P.O. Box: 5689, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia ³Department of Animal and Range Sciences, Haramaya University, P.O. Box: 138, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia ⁴Department of Zoology, Digambarrao Bindu ACS, Bhokar, Dist Nanded, MS, India Correspondence: Tel: +251-915-941-344, E-mail: <u>gebretn12@gmail.com</u>

Manuscript details:

.

Received: 25.07.2017 Accepted: 13.10.2017 Published : 21.11.2017

Cite this article as:

Gebretnsae Mezgebe, Solomon Gizaw, Mengistu Urge and Arvind Chavhan (2017) Begait cattle production systems and production performances in northern Ethiopia; *International J. of Life Sciences*, 5 (4): 506-516.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by Ethiopian Ministry of Education (EMOE) through Haramaya University (HU). The authors are grateful to EMOE and HU for giving this opportunity. We also acknowledge for Bgaite cattle farmers, people and institutes who directly or indirectly contributed for the accomplishment of this study.

Copyright: © 2017 | Author (s), This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial - No Derivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is noncommercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. performance of Begait cattle under small-scale and large-scale farms. Data were collected from 180 (120 small-scale and 60 large-scale) households through filed observation and direct interviews, and analyzed using descriptive statistics and analysis of variance. Households in both production scales considered income generation, calf production, and milk production as major purpose of keeping cattle. The mean analysis of variance of livestock holdings size were; 41, 31, 22, 9 and 2 for cattle, sheep, goats, chicken, and donkey, respectively. Except sexual maturity of breeding bull, production scale had significant (P<0.05) influence on other productive and reproductive traits. Large-scale farmers had significantly higher in daily milk yield and life calf born and also recorded shorter calving interval, age at first calving and dry period of cow than small-scale farmers. Though, there is difference on the level of impact, both large-scale and small-scale farms stressed by theft, water scarcity, seasonal feed shortage, and disease prevalence. From the current result, it is conclude that the observed herd size and milk production performance of Begait cattle is high compared to the indigenous cattle breeds in Ethiopia. Thus, the current breeding practices of Begait cattle farmers' need a designing appropriate selective breeding program to maintain this valuable genetic resource and improve their contribution to livelihoods of their keepers.

The study was conducted to describe production systems and production

Keywords: cattle, production system, trait preferences, breeding practices

INTRODUCTION

Cattle are our most important livestock species because of their production and role in human culture (Felius et al., 2014). Indigenous cattle form the backbone of relevant and sustainable livestock production, due to their better adapted to survive and reproduce under the region's harsh environments (Okomo-Adhiambo, 2002). According to CSA (2015) report, 98.7% of the total cattle reared in Ethiopia had been indigenous cattle. And they are contributing as nearly all the draught power of smallholder farmers; generate critical cash income, provide collateral for local informal credit and serve other socio-cultural functions in the country (Ulfina et al., 2005; Melaku, 2011). Despite the importance of indigenous cattle to the farming community in particular and to the national economy at large, the sector has remained underdeveloped.

Thus, to increase productivity of the indigenous cattle, crossbreeding with exotic breeds has been done through government and non-government organization for about six decades in the country (Chebo and Alemayehu, 2012). However, crossbreeding schemes resulted in limited improvement in productive traits and even less improvement in fitness traits (Azage et al., 2010; Kefena et al., 2011). This is apparently due to inadequate and/or coherent study on the indigenous knowledge of livestock producers, herd structure, keeping purpose and marketing, and factors affecting them may lead to incompatible improvement program and be hindering the potential's of indigenous cattle. Aynalem et al. (2011) expressed the insufficient and unreliable data and inadequate information as major obstacles for expansion and productivity improvements of indigenous cattle in the country.

Therefore, improvement in indigenous cattle can be achieved by thoroughly assessing: production system, performance of cattle, identification of production constraints, and then designing appropriate breeding strategies, which are compatible with the existing production system. Such information provides useful insights towards the designing and implementation of strategies to improve livelihood of smallholder farmers. However, this type of information is currently un-available or inadequate at best. Thus, the objective of the study was to describe production systems including production, input/service delivery and marketing practices and production performance of Begait cattle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The survey was conducted in Kafta-Humera district, western zone of Tigray regional state. It is found between 13°42' to 14°28'N and longitude of 36°23' to 37°31'E, and at an elevation ranging from 530 to 1831

meter above sea level. The mean annual temperature varied from 25°C to 41.7°C and the mean annual rainfall also varied from 400mm to 650mm (NMAE, 2008).

Kafta-Humera district has 21 peasant associations (PAs) with different time of settlement and different agro-ecological zones. However, Begait cattle were found dominantly in lowland zone and early settled PAs. The cattle were herded collectively (80-120), which is locally known as "*Betri*" to share herding payment. However, Betri is currently functional in cattle herd formulated by many farmers while individuals can keep even \geq 50 cattle for relatively higher management. Therefore, farmers consisting \geq 50 cattle were considered as large-scale farmer and farmers consisting 1-49 cattle were considered as small-scale farmer throughout the paper.

Data collection

The survey was conducted through filed observation and direct interviews in four purposively selected peasant associations (PAs) on their potentiality of Begait cattle population. 180 households (120 smallscale farmers 30 household from each PAs and 60 large-scale farmers 15 household from each PAs) were used to generate data on cattle herd sizes, keeping purposes, trait preferences, feeding management, breeding practices and selection criteria, health condition, service provision, and livestock production constraints. At the end, group discussions were held with informants in each PAs for greater insight into the topics covered during the structured interviews and to check whether patterns found in the household were validated by the focus group. Besides, secondary information was collected from development agent, district and zone bureau of agriculture.

Data Analysis

The survey data was summarized and analyzed using descriptive statistics and variance analysis of SPSS (2011) and general linear model procedure of SAS (2008) software's. Paired t-tests were used to test the difference between group comparisons and differences among production means was tested using Duncan's Multiple Range Test. Purpose of cattle keeping, importance of principal traits and cattle production constraints were ranked by calculating index values using the principle of weighted average according to the following formula:

Index =
$$\frac{R_n * C_1 + R_n - 1 * C_2 + ... + R_1 * C_n}{\sum R_n * C_1 + R_n - 1 * C_2 + ... + R_1 * C_n}$$

Where: R_n = Value given for the least ranked level (example if the least rank is 5th rank, then R_n =5, R_n -1=4, and ..., R_1 =1), C_n = Counts of the least ranked level (in the above example, the count of the 5th rank = C_n , and counts of the 1st rank = C_1).

Gross commercial off-take rate was calculated by using the following formula:

Gross commercial = <u>(sales</u>)*100 off-take rate 0.5(Opening Stock + Ending Stock)

The denominator is the average stock, which is computed as a half of the sum of opening stock and ending stock over one year period. The gross commercial off-take involves animal sales and excludes other outgoings and incomings such as slaughters, transfers, exchanges, gifts and purchases.

By considering the number of breeding males (Nm) and breeding females (Nf), the effective population size (Ne) and rate of inbreeding (ΔF) were also estimated using the equations of Ne =4 (Nm × Nf)/(Nm + Nf) and $\Delta F = \frac{1}{2}$ (Ne), respectively (Falconer, 1989).

RESULTS

Household livestock production characteristics

Background and characteristics of respondents

Among the investigated households there is a significant difference between small-scale farmers and large-scale farmers of patterns. The former has 89% and 11% of male and female headed household respectively, but the latter was100% possessed on male ownership (Table 1). The overall mean land size was 9 hectares per household which may have a high potential for feed sources.

Livestock Holding Size

The main activities of 88 and 93% interviewed households from large-scale and small-scale farmers are possessed on mixed crop livestock farming system. The remaining households were solely dependent on livestock keeping. Out of the total livestock owned, 39% were cattle, 30% sheep, 21% goats, 2% donkeys and 8% chickens. Not only cattle, livestock holdings of all types were higher in large-scale farms than smallscale farms (Table 2).

Herd Characteristics

In both production scales, the proportion of adult female (76%) was higher than adult males (24%). At household level the proportion of breeding females was 39%, and the proportion of lactating and pregnant cows were almost similar which was significantly (p<0.05) higher in large-scale than small-scale farms (Table 3). When all female cattle considered, they constituted twofold of the herd. The corresponding figure for breeding males was 3%, and steers comprised the smallest proportion.

Purposes of Keeping Begait Cattle

In both cases Begait cattle were kept primarily for income generation, producing stock replacement, and milk production. Income generation was ranked first by about 68% of large-scale and 57% of small-scale farmers (Table 4). The second important reason for keeping Begait cattle was calf production as stock replacement. The use of Begait cattle as a source of traction power was very low, and use of manure as fertilizer was not totally practiced.

Cattle Management Practices

Feeding Management

Natural pasture was the most common feed resources used for all livestock species. Grazing land and cropaftermath grazing were entirely communal. This type of grazing system gives a great opportunity for landless livestock producers to produce as land holding farmers. Farmers used only herded grazing practice all year-round due to the high fear of theft. Moreover, the conservation of forage sorghum, sorghum chaff, sorghum straw, and hay, was practiced in some extent while sesame residue is used informally in the cultivated area. These conserved feeds were used as supporting feed from February to May, especially for emaciated animals, cows get birth at dry season, lactating cows, old cows, and calves orderly. The rest groups of cattle were forced for grazing and browsing throughout the year.

Supplementing with commercial feeds was not totally practiced in the area; instead they use sorghum grain supplementation at the critical time (April to May) for especially selected animals. Using mineralized salt was common during rainy season and in diet changing.

Begait cattle production system	is and production per	rformances in northern Ethiopia
- O	- · · P · · · · · · P ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	Small-scale N= 120	Large-scale N=60	Total N=180
Parameters	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
Cropland (hectare)			
0	7	6	13
2	32	8	40
3	34	18	52
4	9	3	12
5	19	10	29
6-10	5	3	8
>10	14	12	26
Mean ± S.E	6.65±1.36	14.35±5.51	9.22
Farming activity			
Livestock only	8	7	15
Livestock and crop	112	53	165
Ownership pattern			
Women owners	13	0	13
Male owners	107	60	167
Family size mean ±S.E	6.65±1.36	14.35±5.51	10.50

Table 1. Cropland holding s	ize farming activities	and educational	status of respondent
Table 1. Cropianu norunig s	activities	, and Euucanonai	status of respondent

N= number of households; S.E= standard error of mean

Table 2. Overall species composition of herds

	Small-scale farmers N= 120			Large-scale fa	Overall		
Animal	Ownership	No. of	Herd size/hh	Ownership	No. of	Herd size/hh	least square
species	households	animals	(mean ± SE)	households	animals	(mean ± SE)	means
Cattle	120	2272	18.9 ±1.27	60	5135	85.6±5.97	41.2
Sheep	81	3169	26.4 ±5.92	40	2437	40.6±8.57	31.1
Goat	53	2160	18.0±3.02	33	1820	30.3±7.02	22.1
Donkey	100	168	1.4 ± 0.11	55	121	2.0±0.21	1.6
Camel	1	1	0.0 ± 0.01	0	0	0	0.0
Chicken	90	976	8.1±1.01	43	572	9.5±1.97	8.6

N= number of households; hh=household; S.E= standard error of mean

Table 3. Herd structure of Begait cattle under large and small scale farmers

	Small-scale N=	120		Large-scale N	N= 60	
Cattle Herd Structure	N animals	Mean ± S.E	SL	N animals	Mean ± S.E	SL
Total number of cattle	2272			5135		
Male calves <1year	239	2.0±0.16	***	529	8.8±0.72	***
Young bulls 1-3year	243	2.0±0.17	***	538	9.0±0.65	***
Adult male > 3year	134	1.1 ± 0.17	***	417	7.0±0.64	***
Breeding bulls	70	0.6±0.07	***	126	2.1±0.18	ns
Oxen	25	0.2±0.07	***	133	2.2±0.39	***
Female calves <1year	269	2.2±0.17	***	545	9.1±0.66	***
Young heifers 1-3year	274	2.3±0.16	***	578	9.6±0.79	***
Adult heifers > 3year	201	1.7±0.18	***	178	3.0±0.47	ns
Lactating cows	408	3.4±0.25	***	1055	17.6±1.25	***
Pregnant cows	344	2.9±0.25	***	1005	16.8±1.28	***
Dry cows	67	0.6±0.10	ns	31	0.6±0.16	**
Male to female ratio	1:2.2			1:1.95		
Breeding bull to cow ratio	1:11.7			1:16.60		

N= number of household or animals; SL = significance level; S.E= standard error of mean

Gebretnsae et al., 2017

Table 4. Ranking the pulpose of Reeping Degat eache as indicated by respondents											
	Small-s	scale N=1	20			Large-	scale N=	60			
Purpose	R_1	R_2	R ₃	R_4	Index	R_1	R ₂	R ₃	R 4	Index	
Income source	56.7	40.8	5.0	0.0	0.3761	68.3	31.7	0.0	0.0	0.3886	
Calf Production	38.3	36.7	22.5	0.0	0.3228	31.7	53.3	15.0	0.0	0.3321	
Milk for HC	2.5	22.5	42.5	32.5	0.2042	0.0	15.0	61.7	8.33	0.1927	
Traction	0.0	2.5	16.7	25	0.0690	0.0	0.0	25	31.7	0.0866	
Social values	0.0	0.83	7.5	9.17	0.0279	0.0	0.0	5.0	28.3	0.0390	

Table 4. Ranking the purpose of keeping Begait cattle as indicated by respondents

N= number of household; R= rank; HC= home consumption

Table 5. Household's response on Begait cattle breeding management

	Small-scale N=120	Large-scale N=60
Breeding management	Number of household	Number of household
Breeding system		
Pure breeding	111	60
Crossbreeding	0	0
Both pure and crossbreeding	9	0
Mating system		
Natural mating with selected bull	92	60
Natural mating with unknown bull	28	0
Sources of breeding bull		
Own herd	53	60
Neighbor's herd	39	0
Unknown (mating at field)	28	0
Unselected bull controlling method		
Castration only	0	0
Selling only	107	22
Both castration and selling	13	38

N= number of household

Water Sources and Watering Frequency

Rivers, bore wells, and pond water were the major sources of water for livestock in the area. In the dry season, most of cattle were depended on Governmental or private bore wells with 5-10ETB¹ payment per head per month. All respondents agreed that cattle trekked about 8 to 15km daily to rich the watering point as the feed availability becoming far from the residence and bore wells with the severity increases from February to May. Not only the distance of watering point, the time spent for keeping waiting list was reported as a major problem in cattle rearing.

Cattle Housing

In the study area, all farmers used open fenced barn that did not have roofing to shelter livestock. It is also changeable seasonally to meet their feeding habit of the animals. During the rainy season (June to September) cattle are simply fenced overnight near to communal grazing land, which is far to homestead and crop land. During the autumn (October to December) the housing system placed to the residence due to the availability of feed on crop-aftermath grazing around the homestead area. Then after the rest five months cattle spent without fenced barn, since the grazing time of cattle could be changed from day time to overnight to protect the enormously hot air condition. However, calves were left in the residence house with conserved feeds.

Cattle Breeding Objectives

Initial Stock

The sources of initial breeding stock were including purchases from the known neighbor farmers and nearby markets, gifts from parents and relatives. The attention of farmers in purchasing initial breeding stock focused on the breed type, production performance and production status.

(Pregnant or lactating) of the animal than its price. Due to this the purchasing among known farmers is dominant, especially in highly Begait cattle populated areas. However, in areas of scattered Begait cattle production (new settler peasant association) lactating cow is preferable for purchasing as initial breeding stock by measuring its milk yield in that day.

The main types of local animals as observed and/or reported by key informants were Begait and Arado cattle in the district. It is important to note that the dominance of Begait cattle is increasing over the Arado cattle due to their relatively higher milk yield and meat production. Besides, keeping Begait bull is dominant in the new settler peasant association to change their initial stock (Arado) to Begait through crossbreeding as observed by the first author during the study period. Even though, the interest of new setter farmers on Begait cattle production is increasing, shortage of experience in identifying pure Begait bull and lack of information about its parental history was faced as a major problem in these farmers.

Preferred Traits and Selection Criterion by Begait Cattle Farmers

Farmers practiced selection of breeding animals based on their mental memory at early age. Breeding bulls that have relatively larger body size, aggressive, long body length, taller height at withers, thin neck and small head size were preferable next to milk yields of their dam.

Likewise, preferred traits of breeding cows were milk yield, body conformation, body size and calving interval, respectively (Table 5). Thus, selecting replacement stock were mostly done from their own flock; if not they should purchase from known neighbor herd. Most of the farmers were not preferable cows with black teat color, and long with gray teat color since it is considered as narrow teat cannel which is difficult for suckling and milking.

Breeding Practice

All of the large-scale farmers and most of small-scale farmers (92.5%) were used a pure breeding system but only 7.5% of the small-scale farmers were practiced both pure and cross breeding system (Table 6) between Begait and Arado through Begait Bull.

There was no report on controlled mating system. Bulls run with cows throughout the year. Random mating system is common in both small-scale and large-scale production systems. However, the estimated inbreeding rate was normal (0.19% in small-scale and 0.11% in large-scale farms) as compared to the recommendation of FAO (2012a).

Begait Cattle Performance

Reproductive Performance of Begait Cattle

Reproductive performance of Begait cattle is presented in Table 8. Except sexual maturity of breeding bull, production scale had significant (P<0.05) influence on other reproductive traits. Largescale production level had recorded significantly shorter dry period, calving interval, and age at first calving than small-scale production level.

Large-scale farmers reported about 12, 23 and 37% of heifers for 27-29, 32-35, and 36-39months of age at first calving, respectively while small-scale farmers only 4 and 19% of heifers possessed in 29-35 and 36-39months of age, respectively. With regard to calving interval, only 8% of large-scale households possessed above the average estimated means of calving interval (13.9 \pm 0.5 month), while 43% of small-scale households possessed above the average mean.

Milk Production Performance of Begait Cattle

Milking was practiced once a day after stimulating milk let-down made by calf suckling. However, newborn calves were suckling twice per day until one month with surplus milking practice. Then milking practice was continuing by leaving three, two, and one teats unmilked gradually. Besides, some farmers from the large-scale production were practiced milking without calf twice per day to provide enough milk for herdsman and full suckling opportunity for the rest calves by slaughtering her calf immediately before licking and keep some parts of the calf skin with addition of salt and oil in order to acclimatize milking without calf, which is locally known as "Arem". Milk yield from these types of cows were reported in the range of 12 to 15kg per day.

The mean analysis of variance of milk yield/cow/day was 5.5kg (Table 7). Production scale had significant (P<0.05) influence on milk yield. Large-scale farms were reported significantly higher daily milk yield than small scale farms. 70% of the large-scale farmers (40% 6-6.9kg, 20% 7-9kg, and 10% 10-12kg) produced more than the average milk yield/cow/day, while only 41% of small-scale farmers (27% 6-6.9kg, 10% 7-9kg, and 4% 10-12kg) produced more than the average milk yield/cow/day.

Trait preference	Male					Fema	le			
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R4	Index	R_1	R ₂	R ₃	R4	Index
Body size	47.5	41.7	10.8	0.0	0.337	15.0	11.7	33.3	30.0	0.192
Body conformation	27.5	25.8	46.7	0.0	0.281	18.3	20.0	26.7	36.7	0.223
Milk yield (only for cow)						51.7	36.7	6.7	0.0	0.330
Temperament (only for Bull)	14.2	15.0	15.8	43.3	0.177					
Trekking	5.0	8.3	12.5	34.2	0.104	0.0	3.3	10.0	8.3	0.038
Calving interval (only for cow)						8.3	11.7	15.0	18.3	0.117
Coat color	5.8	9.2	14.2	22.5	0.102	6.7	16.7	8.3	6.7	0.100
Sources of information as selec	tion crit	teria fo	r breed	ling bu	11					
Maternal performance	90.8	10.8	0.0	-	0.421	81.7	18.3	0.0	-	0.512
Sire performance	9.17	52.5	20.8	-	0.341	13.3	51.7	13.3	-	0.285
Sib performance	0.0	12.5	29.2	-	0.172	5.0	13.3	70.0	-	0.203

R=rancks

Table 7. Productive and reproductive traits of Begait cattle

	Small-sca	le N=120	Large-sca	le N=60		
Traits	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	SEM	p- value
Milk yield (Liter/day)						
-In feed availability	5.04 ^b	2-10	6.33ª	2-12	0.1526	<.0001
-In feed scarcity	2.29 ^a	1-3	2.41 ^a	1.5-3.5	0.0423	0.1941
Lactation length (M)	9.06 ^a	5-18	7.92 ^b	5-15	0.2229	0.0154
Days open (M)	5.83 ^a	1-15	3.03 ^b	1-9	0.2756	<.0001
Dry period (M)	6.06 ^a	2-9	4.13 ^b	3-6	0.1225	<.0001
Calving interval (M)	14.82ª	10-24	12.03 ^b	10-18	0.2756	<.0001
Age at 1 st calving (M)	44.47 ^a	29-60	37.62 ^b	27-48	0.4968	<.0001
Cow lifetime (year)	14.38ª	10-20	11.85 ^b	9-18	0.1788	<.0001
Number of calf born/CLS	10.71 ^b	7-15	11.40 ^a	7-15	0.1295	0.0110
Male sexual maturity (M)	39.13ª	18-54	38.75 ^a	18-54	0.5775	0.7487
Bull lifetime (year)	6.39 ^b	5-13	7.25 ^a	4-11	0.1852	0.0198

Means in a row with different subscripts are significant at p<0.05; M= month; N=number of household; SEM = standard error of mean

Inputs/Services and Marketing

Animal and Animal Product Marketing in Kafta-Humera District

The main reasons of farmers to sell animals are to pay labour for agricultural activities, repayment of credit and fear of theft. Selling of livestock during dry season due to shortage of feed is not a reason in the area; instead they are preferred to move animals from place to place within the district. Besides, selling of animals to buy food is not common due to the ample production of sorghum as food and for cattle supplementation in some extent.

The price of cattle is mostly focused on individual appearance and parental history. Regardless of the two production system, young bulls that have long body size, aggressive temperament, taller height at wither and mixed coat color with 6 to 9 milk yields of its mother fetch higher price. Generally, the number of selling animals and their price was depends on the production and price of sesame cash crop. This means farmer's sale their cattle if and only if they face money problem but do not have preferable time for sale. The time of selling were made more or less regularly throughout the year. However, the peak sale of cattle is during the months of June to finance agricultural labour and ploughing fee and December for loan repayment.

The gross commercial off-take rate (20 and 18%) and the sale of breeding cow proportion (11 and 8%) was significantly higher in large-scale than small-scale farmers. However, the proportion of young bull (82 and 71%) selling was significantly higher in smallscale than large-scale farms (Table 8).

Begait cattle production systems and production performances in northern Ethiopia

Group	Small-scale f							
of cattle	Mean± S.E	Mini	Maxi	Total	Mean± S.E	Mini	Maxi	Total
Young bull	2.77±0.25	0	11	332	11.95±1.10	2	43	717
Old bull	0.19 ± 0.04	0	2	23	0.95±0.15	0	4	57
Oxen	0.10 ± 0.04	0	3	12	1.60 ± 0.20	0	5	96
Heifer	0.04 ± 0.02	0	1	5	0.48 ± 0.14	0	6	29
Breeding cow	0.26±0.05	0	3	31	1.80 ± 0.20	0	5	108

Table 8. Number of Begaite cattle sold in 2013/4 under small-scale and large-scale farmers

S.E= standard error of mean; Mini= minimum; Maxi= maximum

Table 9. Ranks of constraints in Begait cattle production

	Small-	scale: N=	=120			Large-	Large- scale farmers: N=60				
Constraints	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R4	Index	R_1	R ₂	R ₃	R4	Index	
Feed shortage	35.8	32.5	17.5	0.0	0.2810	56.7	35	5	0.0	0.3417	
Theft	63.3	22.5	12.5	0.0	0.3523	16.7	26.7	28.3	18.3	0.2217	
Disease	0.0	25.8	34.2	42.5	0.1919	6.67	11.7	25	28.3	0.1400	
Water scarcity	0.8	15.8	24.2	30.8	0.1324	20	13.3	21.7	33.3	0.1967	
Labor scarcity	0.0	2.5	9.2	5.8	0.0323	0.0	11.7	18.3	16.7	0.0883	
Predator	0.0	0.8	1.7	4.2	0.0102	0.0	1.67	1.67	3.3	0.0117	

N=number of household; R= ranks

Regarding animal product marketing, about 62% and 53% of small-scale and large-scale farmers were sold their raw milk to local traders while 12% and 7% sold their whey or locally processed milk to neighbor households. The amount and price of milk depend on quantity and quality feed availability. In the season of succulent feed availability (July to December) milk production rich to the peak while the price of raw and processed milk decreased by 42% than the price of milk in the dry season.

The way of currency exchange was done totally traditional through per animal pricing and per volume of milk and milk products without quality priority.

Extension services

In the study area, the sources of agricultural service are only given by the wored offices of agriculture and rural development (OoARD). The OoARD extension package mostly focused in promoting the introduction of exotic breed through crossbreeding to increase milk production. However, farmers were neglecting this service due to their lack of survivality in the hot environment and slow trekking ability of crossbreed cattle for feed and water searching. Besides, the interest of owners is not to increase milk production while they are challenged by market problem of their milk production. Thus, the interest gap between OoARD and the producers may increase in hesitating of producers even in other important services. Thus, the extension system has to be re-oriented to respond to the increasing demand of market-oriented livestock development to benefit farmers themselves and contribute to the national economy.

Veterinary services

As the individual interview and focus group discussion report indicated that the major diseases were trypanosomiasis, pasturolosis, anthrax, blackleg, lumpy skin disease, swelling, mastitis, and foot and mouth disease, respectively. Trypanosomiasis and pasturolosis diseases that are locally known as *Silim* and *leshlesh* were treated by using Diminazen and Oxytetracycline, respectively by most of farmers. Moreover, provision of mineral salt and excluding cattle from dirking very cold water were considered as a prevention method of trypanosomiasis by cattle owners.

As with the extension service; veterinary services were also provided almost solely by the woreda OoARD, and often far below the demand by farmers. For example, in the district, where huge livestock are reared (Table 2) and livestock diseases were mentioned as the most important constraint (Table 9), only one clinic center for three to five peasant associations with two-three staff members were provide the veterinary services like other district of the reign, which are mainly 2-5 cattle holds. Due to this, most of the peasant's livestock got a veterinary service twice per month through mobile animal health technicians by omitting the emergency care. Vaccination was rarely administered through the office of agricultural extension veterinarians, animal health assistant and animal health technician's, but donkeys were lucky for this service while cattle, sheep and goats were left from this service due to shortage of transportation and unwillingness of veterinary servant to provide the service at their communal grazing lands.

Constraints to Begait cattle production

Among the constraints theft, shrinkage of grazing land, diseases prevalence and water scarcity were considered as the most important problems ranked first, second, third and forth with different index values, respectively in small-scale farms (Table 9), while large-scale farms were ranked shrinkage of grazing land, water scarcity, theft and diseases prevalence as first, second, third and forth. Thus, the result indicated that large-scale farms give better attention than small-scale farms for controlling theft and disease prevalence because, small-scale farmers are used group herding system to minimize the payments of hired labour but this collection of herding system leads to lack of commitment in different activities of cattle production.

DISCUSSION

Herd Size and their Characters

In Ethiopia, small herd size and low fecundity rate of cattle had been reported as main limiting factors of adequate and sustainable supply of quality live animals for meat processing (Asfaw and Jabbar, 2008; AGPLMD, 2013). Besides, the bulk of low quality cattle such as culled draught oxen had been dominant in the market (Berhanu, 2007; Asfaw and Jabbar, 2008), which can affect the efficient utilization of meat processing capacity. Consequently, participation of small-scale farmers and pastoral cattle producers had been limited in marketing with competitive prices of their cattle.

Although, there were differences across production systems, the current study were estimated relatively higher herd size (41), higher proportion of breeding cows to adult male's ratio (3.2:1) and relatively shorter CI (14months). Figures from this study also indicated that 74% of the sold cattle were young bulls (1-3years), which could be confirmed quality live of supply. Ameha (2011) stated that meat quality changes markedly with an animal's age or weight at slaughter. The difference may be due to the difference

in keeping purpose, indigenous knowledge of farmers, herd size, fecundity rate and feeding management among the indigenous cattle of Ethiopia. According to Dereje et al. (2014), the proportion of the different classes of animals reflects the management decision of the producers which in turn is determined by the production objectives. In systems where the main utility function is supply of milk and meat such a large proportion of the adult female population is expected (Mwacharo and Rege, 2002). Moreover, off-take rates of cattle mainly depends on the keeping purpose of farmers (as productive assets or as income sources) (AGPLMD, 2013), herd size and reproductive rates (Lubungu et al., 2012), as herd size increases, household is more likely to be net seller than to be net buyer (Gebremedhin et al., 2015). Enkono et al. (2013) stated that a unit increase in cattle owned will increase participation of farmers in marketing; therefore, large herds generate a higher marketable surplus than small herds. Thus, the current study indicated that Begait cattle have a great potential to facilitate the shortage of supply in quantity and quality of live animals for domestic and export meat processing.

Milk yield of Begait cattle

The findings in the current study confirmed a great variation between production scales and within production scales that can initiate genetic improvements of indigenous cattle through selection. About 12% of the interviewed households reported 46-118% of daily milk yield increment from the estimated mean without any supplementation and with once milking time per day. Research studies have been reported decreased milk yield by 34% in oncedaily milking than twice milking in the entire lactation period (Holmes et al., 1992; Rémond et al., 2004; Clark et al., 2006). However, once-daily milking had been significantly improved the postpartum reproductive performance of cattle without affecting the growth performance of their calves (Browning et al., 1994; Johari et al., 1995). Dickerson (1970) also suggested that the ability to reproduce is by far the most important contributor towards efficiency, and the ability to reproduce in a given feed environment is related to its mature size. Previous studies also recorded a wide variation like the current finding from other Ethiopian indigenous cattle; for instance, Zewdu et al. (2013) recorded 2 to 10kg range of daily milk yield and 1150kg lactation milk yield with a maximum of 1350kg from best 15% of Mahibere-Sellasie composite cattle without any supplementation. Elias et al. (2015) also recorded 34 and 53% lactation milk yield increment from best 25% and best 10% of Sheko cattle, respectively.

The indicative performance of daily milk yield (12-15kg) from cows milked twice per day highlighted how productive potentials of the breed is hindering by production objectives, husbandry practice of farmers, shortage of market accessibilities, and lack of compatible breeding strategies. Thus, the current result indicated that Begait cattle have relatively higher milk producing potential than other indigenous cattle in Ethiopia, and can be more increased through selection, provision of market opportunity, and improving the management practice of farmers to contribute for the huge demands of milk and milk product in the country.

Major Constraints

In tropical countries, lack of feed supplementation during the dry season is frequent, especially in extensive or traditional management systems. This situation leads to a problem of seasonal weight loss of approximately 20–40% of the body weight at the onset of the dry season (Lamy et al., 2012). In Mixed-crop production systems the challenge is better to integrate the nutrient management of crop and animal production enterprises within the system, to be relatively self-sufficient and reduce dependence on external inputs (FAO, 2012b). However, shortage of awareness on feed conservation and feeding habit of farmers were made to increase severity of feed shortage in the study area while ample feed resources are available in wet season. Water sources were also reported to dry up during the dry season. Consequently, cattle were forced to goes long distance daily starting from 4:00 to 5:00 o'clock, which is the most preferable time for grazing to reach early on the watering point. Hence, long distance trekking of cattle for searching feed and water were resulted in seasonal milking practice of farmers. Therefore, training of farmers on feed conservation and expansion of water sources at communal grazing lands are required.

The supporting service, amount of drugs and veterinary equipment to address the health problem was mentioned to be minimal in the study area. Consequently, animal health activities were being done by farmers, though sometimes in the wrong way. This will have an impact on off-take rate of cattle and hence income of households and/or may increase economic importance of the disease due to high or low dosing rate. Hence, designing proper disease controlling strategies or trained the already started cattle keepers as animal health worker is crucial.

The impact of theft was also mentioned as a big challenge in different activities of Begait cattle production from time to time. Thus, some farmers were becoming hopeless in rearing this valuable breed and then sold their total cattle at a point of time. Besides, sale of breeding bull at young stage, increasing hired labour payment, limiting grazing area and water accessibility due to fear of their security are increasing. Therefore, if this bad action is continue, it may be destroyed the highly potential breed from their habitat or may be changed by other breed like Arado cattle due to relatively slow trekking ability, lower price, and then lower acceptance by the neighbor country participants/sellers. To overcome this action, the government should give attention on controlling informal/cross-boundary movements of cattle. Besides, strengthen of livestock marketing places through controlled taxing system may be contribute to identify the seller and buyer with their origin of the animal in anywhere.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Begait cattle were almost reared in mixed crop livestock production system mainly as sources of income. The awareness and practice of farmers in selecting breeding animals can initiate productivity and keeping purity of Begait cattle. However, the process of selling animals and animal products was totally traditional without quality priority. Though, there is difference on the level of impact, both largescale and small-scale farms stressed by theft, water scarcity, seasonal feed shortage, and disease prevalence. Therefore, there is an urgent need that measures are taken on carful controlling of cross boundary cattle movement, expansion of water sources, improving feed conservation practice and veterinary services. Generally, the observed herd size and keeping purpose of farmers can have the potential to provide a regular supply of meat for export markets and milk production performance of Begait cattle is high compared to the local cattle breeds in Ethiopia. Thus, the current breeding practices of farmers' need a designing appropriate selective breeding program to maintain this valuable genetic resource and improve their contribution to livelihoods of their keepers.

Conflicts of interest: The authors stated that no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- AGPLMD (Agricultural Growth Project Livestock Market Development), 2013. Value Chain Analysis for Ethiopia: Expanding Livestock Markets for the Small-holder Producers. AGP-Livestock Market Development Project, AID-663-C-12-00009.
- Ameha S (2011) Export requirements for meat and live small ruminants: How can development agents assist producers to improve small ruminant export? Ethiopian sheep and goat productivity improvement program, Eds., Alemu, Y., Merkel, R.C. and Gipson, T.A. *Technical bulletin No.47*.
- Asfaw N and Jabbar M (2008) Livestock ownership, commercial off-take rates and their determinants in Ethiopia. Research Report 9. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya. 52 pp.
- Aynalem H, Workneh A, Noah K, Tadelle D and Azage T (2011). Breeding strategy to improve Ethiopian Boran cattle for meat and milk production. IPMS (Improving Productivity and Market Success) of Ethiopian Farmers Project Working Paper 26. Nairobi, Kenya, ILRI.
- Azage T, Berhanu G and Hoekstra D (2010) Livestock input supply and service provision in Ethiopia: Challenges and opportunities for market oriented development. *IPMS of Ethiopian Farmers Project Working Paper 20. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya, pp: 48.*
- Brisbane JR and Gibson JP (1995) Balancing selection response and rate of inbreeding by including genetic relationships in selection decisions. *Theor Appl Gen, 91:* 421-431.
- Browning RJr, Robert BS, Lewis AW, Neuendorff DA and Randel RD (1994) Effects of Postpartum Nutrition and Once-Daily Suckling on Reproductive Efficiency and Preweaning Calf Performance in Fall-Calving Brahman (Bos indicus) Cows. J. him. Sci., 72:984-989.
- Chebo C and Alemayehu K (2012) Trends of cattle genetic improvement programs in Ethiopia: Challenges and opportunities. *Livestock Research for Rural Development, 24, Article #109.*
- Clark DA, Phyn CVC, Tong MJ, Collis SJ and Dalley DE (2006) A systems comparison of once- versus twice-daily milking of pastured dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 89:1854-62.
- Dereje T, Mengistu U, Getachew A and Yoseph M (2014) Perceptions of households on purpose of keeping, trait preference, and production constraints for selected goat types in Ethiopia. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 46:363–370.
- Dickerson GE (1970) Efficiency of animal production molding the biological components. *Journal of Animal Science, 30:849.*
- Elias B, Aynalem H, Solomon G and Yosef M (2015) Evaluation of non-genetic factors affecting calf growth, reproductive performance and milk yield of traditionally managed Sheko cattle in southwest Ethiopia. *SpringerPlus*, 4:568.
- Enkono SG, Kalundu SK and Thomas B (2013) Analysis of factors influencing cattle off-take rate and marketing in Ndiyona constituency of Kavango region, Namibia. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, 5(9):201-206.
- FAO (2012) Impact of animal nutrition on animal welfare Expert Consultation 26–30 September 2011 – FAO

Headquarters, Rome, Italy. Animal Production and Health Report. No. 1. Rome.

- Felius M, Beerling ML, Buchanan DS, Theunissen B, Koolmees PA and Lenstra JA (2014) Review on the History of Cattle Genetic Resources, *Jou. of diversity* (6):705-750.
- Gebremedhin B, Hoekstra D, Tegegne A, Shiferaw K and Bogale A (2015) Factors determining household market participation in small ruminant production in the highlands of Ethiopia. LIVES Working Paper 2. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute.
- Holmes CW, Wilson GF, MacKenzie DDS and Purchas J (1992) The effects of milking once daily throughout lactation on the performance of dairy cows grazing on pasture. *Proc. N.Z. Soc. Anim. Prod.* 52:13–16.
- Johari JA, Adnan S, Ariff MO, Sukri MI and Daud E (1995) Effects of suckling on the postpartum reproductive performance of the local beef and crossbred cattle in Malaysia. *MARDI Res. J.*, 23(2): 171–175.
- Kefena E, Zewdie W, Tadelle D and Aynalem H (2011) Genetic and environmental trends in the long-term dairy cattle genetic improvement programmes in the central tropical highlands of Ethiopia. *Journal of Cell and Animal Biology, 5(6):96-104.*
- Lamy, E. van Harten, S. Sales-Baptista, E. Manuela, M., Guerra, M. and de Almeida, A.M., 2012. Factors Influencing Livestock Productivity. V. Sejian et al. (eds.), Environmental Stress and Amelioration in Livestock Production, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Lubungu, M., Chapoto, A. and Tembo, G., 2012. Smallholder Farmers Participation in Livestock Markets: The Case of Zambian Farmers. Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute (IAPRI) Lusaka, Zambia, Working Paper 66.
- Melaku T (2011) Oxenization versus Tractorization: Options and constraints for Ethiopian framing system. *African Journal of Agricultural Resources*, 3(1):11-20.
- Mwacharo J and Rege E (2002) On-farm Characterisation of the Indigenous Small East African Shorthorn Zebu Cattle (SEAZ) in the Southeast Rangelends of Kenya. Animal Genetic Resources Information, 32, 73-86.
- NMAE (National Metrological Agency of Ethiopia), 2008.
- Okomo-Adhiambo M (2002) Characterisation of genetic diversity in indigenous cattle of East Africa: Use of microsatellite DNA techniques. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Rémond B, Pomiès D, Dupont, D and Chilliard Y (2004) Once a-day milking of multiparous Holstein cows throughout the entire lactation: Milk yield and composition, and nutritional status. *Anim. Res. 53:201–212.*
- SAS (Statistical analysis system) (2008) SAS/STAT. 9.2 User's Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) (2011) SPSS user's guides. Version 20, SPSS Inc.
- Ulfina G, Zelalem B, Jemal D, Gemeda D, Chala M, Jiregna D, Diriba G, Lemma G, Workneh A and Adam D (2005) Survey of cattle production and marketing practices in Danno District, western Ethiopia, using PRA tools. PRA Report.
- Zewdu W, Wurzinger M, Tadele D and Sölkner J (2013) Potential of indigenous animal genetic resources as an adaptive mechanism on climate change: The case of Mahibere-Slassie composite cattle. *Proceeding of Agricultural economics society of Ethiopia*.

© 2017| Published by IJLSCI