## Evaluation of potato cultivars and fungicides for the management of late blight (*Phytophthora infestans* (mont) de bary) in Holleta, West Showa, Ethiopia.

Ashenafi Mulatu Yeshi<sup>1</sup>, Thangavel Selvaraj<sup>1\*</sup>Alemu Lencho<sup>1</sup> and Bekele Kassa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Ambo University, Ambo, Post Box No: 19, Ethiopia, East Africa. | <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Holleta Agricultural Research Center, Holleta, Ethiopia, East Africa.

\*Corresponding author: Email: tselvaraj\_1956@yahoo.com | Contact: +251-913073294.

#### Manuscript details:

ABSTRACT

Received: 15.05.2017 Accepted: 28.06.2017 Published : 30.05.2017

Editor: Dr. Arvind Chavhan

#### Cite this article as:

Ashenafi Mulatu Yeshi, Thangavel Selvaraj, Alemu Lencho<sup>1</sup> and Bekele Kassa (2017) Evaluation of potato cultivars and fungicides for the management of late blight (Phytophthora infestans (mont) de bary) in Holleta, West Showa, Ethiopia; International J. of Life Sciences, 5 (2): 161-179

#### Acknowledgements

This research was conducted in partial fulfillment of the M.Sc., degree in Department of Plant Sciences, Ambo University, Ambo by the first author. Funding was provided by the Ministry of Education, Ethiopia.

**Copyright:** © 2017 | Author (s), This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial - No Derivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is noncommercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. Late blight caused by Phytophthora infestans (Mont) de Bary is one of the most significant constraints to potato production in Ethiopia. Fungicides and host plant resistance are among the most efficient control options available to growers. Field trials were conducted during the year 2015-2016 in Holleta Agricultural Research Center, Holleta, Ethiopia, to evaluate the effectiveness of four fungicides viz. Ridomil MZ 68 WG, Victory 72 WP (Mancozeb with Metalaxyl), Horizon 680 WG and Mancozeb application in weekly intervals on three potato cultivars Gudene (CIP-386423.13; Relatively Resistant), Gera (KP-90134.2; Moderate Relatively Resistant) and Jalene (CIP-384321.19, Susceptible cultivar). Late blight infection was prevalent in the experimental year and significant amount of disease incidence and severity were detected. Application of fungicide treatments considerably reduced late blight disease progress, with a corresponding decrease in disease index and increase in their tuber yields. The disease incidence reached the maximum of 91.5 % on the unsprayed control susceptible variety, Jalene and 55 and 38.5 % was recorded on moderate resistant varieties, Gudene and Gera, respectively. The percent final disease severity was recorded significant difference in sprayed and unsprayed plots. The highest severity (78%) reduction was recorded in all fungicide treated Gera variety. The highest severity was recorded in varieties of Jalene (83.5%) and Gudene (30%) with untreated control. In this study, Victory 72 WP retarded late blight development consistently when combined with all varieties and the highest yields were obtained from plots treated with Victory 72 WP. Higher tuber yield was recorded on the variety Gera (48.47 tons/ha) followed by Gudene and Jalene and the lowest tuber yield was recorded in the control treatments (no sprays). Based on late blight disease occurrence, application of Victory 72 WP and Ridomil MZ 68 WG fungicides reduced disease development and increased tuber yield significantly in all the cultivars as compared to the other two fungicides. Economic analysis revealed that the highest net benefit was obtained from Gera when sprayed at weekly intervals followed by Gudene and the least was obtained from Jalene unsprayed plot. Overall, the present study demonstrated that the application of fungicides, Victory 72 WP and Ridomil MZ 68 WG in weekly intervals were more economical and feasible for the management of late blights of potato and increases tuber yields markedly. Further, cost effective and feasible integrated management options need to be developed for potato late blight in the country.

**Keywords:** Fungicides, Host resistance, Potato cultivars, late blight, *Phytophthora infestans.* 

## INTRODUCTION

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is one of the most important food crops grown in more than hundred countries in the world, in which the tuber provides high energy, carbohydrates and high quality protein as well as substantial amount of vitamins, minerals and trace elements (Horton and Sawyer, 1985). The crop was introduced to Ethiopia in 1858 by a German Botanist; Wilhelm Shimper (Medhin et al., 2006). Ethiopia is one of the major potato producers in Africa, with 70 % of its arable land in the high altitude areas between 1,500-3,000 meters being suitable for potato production (FAOSTAT, 2008). At present in Ethiopia, potato area had grown to 160,000-ha, with average yields around 8 tons/ha (Medhin et al, 2006). It is one of the most important food and cash crops and also become an important garden crop especially in high and mid altitude areas of Ethiopia (Borgal *et al.*, 1980). But cultivation was limited to potato growing voluntarily in fields in the colder highlands until wider adoption of the potato occurred at the end of the 19th century in response to a prolonged famine (Medhin et al., 2001).

Yield of vegetable crops are generally lowest in tropical Africa as a result of both biotic and abiotic factors, of which the former include primarily insect pests, diseases and weeds. The most important factors responsible for the low productivity of potato are diseases and insect pests. Farmers get lower yield mainly due to pests and sub-optimal fertilization (Tesfaye, 2008). However, there are many factors which limits potato yield in Ethiopia, which includes: the lack of improved well performing varieties, poor fruit setting due to heavy rains and excessively high temperatures, insect pests and diseases, of which fungal, viral and bacterial wilt diseases appear to be significant constraints (Adane et al., 2010; Bekele et al., 2011). Among the diseases, Late blight is one of the most important, notorious and widespread phytopathogenic soil borne fungal pathogen caused by Phytophthora infestans mainly in main and off-

cropping seasons as a destructive disease in vegetable crops including potato in Ethiopia ((Fernández-Northcote et al., 2000; Hijmans et al., 2000; Namanda et al., 2004; Mesfin et al., 2009). Basically, potato crop is the main cash vegetable crop in and around Ambo, Dendi, Ilfeta, Jibat, Holleta and Toke Kutaye districts of Western Showa, Ethiopia. According to CABI (2004), potato vield losses in East Africa can be as high as 88 % and pests and diseases account for 56 % of that loss. Yield losses caused by P. infestans are estimated at 50 -100 % in traditional potato production areas (Ajanga, 1993). As the crop is vegetative propagated, the diseases can easily be transmitted through tubers and cause very high economic losses across wide geographic areas. Furthermore, the percent incidence of late blight is as high as 45 to 55% on potato (EARO, 2002, HARC, 2005) was recorded in major potato producing areas of Ethiopia, giving good indication of the losses due to the disease can cause in major tomato producing areas of Ethiopia particularly in Ambo, Dendi, Holleta and Toke Kutaye districts of Western Showa.

Management of late blight requires aggressive measures that include combined use of cultural, scouting, sanitation, and most importantly the combi-nation of host plant resistance with application of fungicides (Johnson et al., 1998; Namanda et al., 2004; Kirk et al., 2005). Yield losses due to the disease are attributed to both premature death of foliage and diseased tubers. In Ethiopia, the disease occurs throughout the major potato production areas and it is difficult to produce the crop during the main rainy season without chemical protection measures (Borgal et al., 1980; Bekele and Medhin, 2000; Habtamu et al., 2012). To effectively manage late blight, farmers have increasin-gly adopted fungicide application as a main control strategy. Nonetheless, losses due to the disease were estimated to be 65-70% and complete crop failures are frequently reported (Bekele and Yaynu, 1996).

*Phytophthora infestans* has a high pathogenic variability and therefore, specific resistance has contributed little in controlling the disease and

varietal resistance only helps in reducing the amount of fungicides required and the rate of disease development (Denitsa and Naidenova, 2005). However, a need to explore the other strategies to supplement the existing measures to curb the heavy economic losses inflicted by the disease. Apart from yield losses, the disease reduces the market value of the crop due to brown color that forms in tubers of potato during storage (Hartman and Huang, 1995; Cao and Forrer, 2001; Ghorbani et al., 2007). Due to the devastating nature of the disease, it poses a threat to food security since many resource poor farmers cannot afford the numerous fungicide applications required to control it (Denitsa and Naidenova, 2005). Successful control of late blight disease needs an accurate control by using efficient fungicides.

The use of protectant and systemic fungicides for managing late blight has perhaps been the most studied aspect of this disease management in temperate countries (Olanya et al., 2001). Preventive fungicides principally inhibit spore germination and penetration, but once the pathogen enters the leaves, these fungicides become ineffective. Under such conditions a product having some curative and systemic activity, such as metalaxyl is desirable (Schwinn and Margot, 1991). The National Potato Program within the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR), together within the International Potato Center (CIP) and several Ethiopian Universities have worked over the last two decades to introduce potato cultivars with resistance to P. infestans. But, some of them have lost their resistance soon after dissemination. The profound ability of the disease to reach an epidemic level within short periods, the inadequate efficiency of cultural practices to reduce high level of disease severity, and rapid development of resistance to fungicides and breakage of plant resistance in potato cultivars within short period of time have made integrated use of different disease management strategies very essential in late blight management. Thus, the combined uses of fungicide and resistance varieties have evolved as one of the most important options in the management of the disease (Namanda et al., 2001). Potato cultivars grown in Ethiopia have low levels of general resistance to late blight. Mostly the commercial potato farmers rely on fungicide applications for control of P. infestans (Habtamu et al., 2012).

Integrating fungicide applications with varieties by choosing the best fungicide-cultivar combinations improves the durability/sustainability of the released potato varieties in the potato production system. This is particularly important in developing countries such as Ethiopia, where the setup of efficient and sustainable breeding programs for potatoes are inadequate. Integration of fungicides with cultivars has been commonly practiced for sustainable production of potatoes in most developed world (Namanda et al., 2001). In addition to the benefits of reducing yield losses due to epidemics of late blight, the combined uses of fungicide with resistance varieties can also contribute to reduce the health risks associated with high fungicide applications. Integration of fungicide with potato cultivars could reduce the need of application of high fungicide and able to decrease the risk to human health, environmental contamination, and increase the economic benefit of farmers. Production of potato in the rainy season (main cropping) in Ethiopia could not be envisaged without fungicide application to control late blight. Due to the risk of late blight, the farmers are not able to cultivate potato during the main rainy season in Holleta of West Showa, Ethiopia. The efficacy of some chemicals against late blight has been reported in Ethiopia (Habtamu et al., 2012) and other countries (Matheron and Matejka, 1991; Ghani et al., 1995; Shuja, 1995; Namanda et al., 2004; Rahman et al., 2008; Usman Ghazanfar et al., 2010), but large scale testing of newly registered fungicides against late blight has not been explored so far. However, there is inadequate information with regard to the plant growth promotion; use of potato cultivars combination with fungicides against late blight of potato in Ethiopia. Management of this disease through chemicals and the use of cultivars are possible. Therefore, this study was undertaken to evaluate the potato cultivars and fungicides for the management of late blight and to identify the most effective fungicide combined with cultivar against potato late blight and also to assess the economics of fungicides and cultivars.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## Description of the study site

The field experimental study was conducted in Holleta Agricultural Research Center, Holleta, West Showa, Oromiya Region, Ethiopia from July to October 2015. Holleta district has total geographical area of 78887 sq.km and is located at 8° 57 'North latitude and 38° 0



Fig.1 Map showing the study area- Holleta with Oromia Region



Figures 2 and 3 Experimental field with different fungicide treatments on potato cultivars

	······································
1	Gudene (CIP-386423.13) + Mancozeb
2	Gudene (CIP-386423.13)+ Victory 72 WP
3	Gudene (CIP-386423.13) + Ridomil MZ 68 WG -Standard check
4	Gudene (CIP-386423.13)+ Horizon 680 WG
5	Gudene + un sprayed, check
6	Jalene + Mancozeb
7	Jalene + Victory 72 WP
8	Jalene + Ridomil MZ 68 WG - Standard check
9	Jalene + Horizon 680 WG
10	Jalene + un sprayed, check
11	Gera(CIP-384321.19) + Mancozeb
12	Gera (CIP-384321.19) + Victory 72 WP
13	Gera (CIP-384321.19) + Ridomil MZ 68 WG -Standard check
14	Gera (CIP-384321.19) + Horizon 680 WG
15	Gera + un sprayed, check

<b>Fable 1.Treatment combination of field experin</b>	nent
---	------

## Table 2. Rates and frequencies of application of fungicides in the treatments.

Common name	Rate (kg/ha)	Interval of spray (days)	Frequency
Mancozeb	1.5	7	7 times
Victory72 WP	2.5	7-21	4 times
Horizon 680 WG	2.5	14	4 times
Ridomil MZ 68 WG	2.5	14	4 times

East longitude with elevation range of 1800-2800 m. a. s. l. and it is 45 km far from Addis Ababa of 45 km (Fig. 1). In addition, the district has bi-modal rainfall distribution with small amount of rainfall during Belg (autumn) season and much rainfall during Kremt (summer) season. Heavy rain was observed from onset of July to the end of August. The average annual rainfall of the area is 1040 mm. It has an annual minimum and maximum temperature in the range of 6°C and 21°C, respectively. The soil of the experimental study site is vertisol with light black in color and clay loam in texture with a pH value of 6.8.

### **Experimental materials used**

Three cultivars of potato viz. Gudene (CIP-386423.13; Relatively Resistant), Gera (KP-90134.2; Moderate Relatively Resistant) and Jalene (CIP-384321.19; Susceptible cultivar) were used in this experimental study. All the three cultivars were obtained from Holleta Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopian Agricultural Research Institute, Hollet and also all the wide-range of cultivars have environmental adaptations in Ethiopia. Gera (KP-90134.2) was released in 2003, Gudene (CIP-386423.13) was released in the year 2006 while Jalene (CIP-384321.19) was released in 2002 (Woldegiorgis et al., 2008; MoARD, 2013). Four fungicides were used in this study viz. Ridomil MZ 68 WG, Victory 72 WP (Mancozeb with Metalaxyl), Horizon 680 WG and Mancozeb against potato late blight disease. All the fungicides, except victory 72 which was obtained from Ambo University, were obtained from Holleta Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia. In this experiment, Ridomil MZ 68 WG was used as a standard control (check). Likewise, Horizon 680 WG was the new fungicide which has not been widely used before the control of potato late blight disease in Ethiopia.

#### Experimental design, treatments and applications

A randomized complete block design with three replications was employed in a factorial arrangement at Holleta Agricultural Research Center. Each potato variety was randomly combined with one of the four fungicide (Ridomil MZ 68 WG, Victory 72 WP, Horizon 680 WG and Mancozeb) and a non-spray treatment was used as a control (Table 1; Figures 2 and 3).Plots consisted of 5 rows with spacing of 0.3 m between plants and 0.75 m between rows, giving an overall dimension of 2.4m X 3.75m. The fungicides were applied as per the recommendation of the manufacturers using a manually-pumped knapsack

sprayer of 15 liter capacity (Table 2). Spraying was started soon after the first late blight lesions were observed on the foliage and continued depending on the recommendation of the fungicide. Di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) and urea were applied at planting and during weeding at the rate of 195 kg/ha and 165 kg/ha, respectively (Rotem and Sari, 1983). In all field plots, normal agronomic practices were carried out as necessary. Unsprayed control and Ridomil gold sprayed plots were used as a check.

#### **Disease assessment**

### Disease incidence and severity

Starting with the appearance of the first late blight symptoms, each plant within each plot was visually evaluated for percent foliar infection (severity). Late blight incidence (number of plants infected) and severity were assessed as of the disease onset at 7 days' intervals from the pre-tagged 10 plants/plot in the three central rows of each plot. Number of plants that showed symptoms of late blight was counted and the percentage of disease incidence (PDI) were calculated according to the formula by Wheeler (1969)

The data on disease severity was recorded using percent rating scale of Shutong *et al.* (2007) (Table 3). The severity grades were converted into Percentage Severity Index (PSI) according to the formula by Wheeler (1969).

$$PSI (\%) = \frac{\sum Individual numerical ratting}{Total no. of. Plants assessed X Max.} X 100$$
  
Score in the scale

## Area under disease progressive curve and disease progress rates

The effect of variety and fungicide combinations on disease severity data was integrated into area under disease progress curve (AUDPC), as described by Campbell and Madden (1990).

$$AUDPC = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 0.5 (x_{i+1} + x_i) (t_{i+1} - t_i)$$

Where n is the total number of assessments,  $t_i$  is the time of the  $i^{th}$  assessment in days from the first assessment date,  $x_i$  is percentage of disease severity at

i<sup>th</sup> assessment. AUDPC was expressed in percent-days because the severity (x) was expressed in percent and time (t) in days. The rates of disease progress in time was determined by recording the severity of late blight at 7 days interval right from the appearance of the first disease symptoms (37 DAS) till the maturity of the crop in the different treatments.

#### Assessment of yield

At maturity, potato tubers were harvested from the central three rows on each plot of each treatment. Additionally, the weights of marketable yield of potato tuber per plot were recorded. Tuber yield per plot was converted into yield of tons per hectare.

#### **Yield loss estimation**

The relative loss in yield of each treatment was determined as percentage of that of protected plots of the experiment. Losses were calculated separately for each of the treatment and yield component of the potato was determined as a percentage of that of the protected plots and the yield loss was calculated based on the formula of Robert and Janes, (1991):

$$RL(\%) \xrightarrow{(Y_1 - Y_2)} X100$$

Where, RL – relative loss (reduction of the parameters yield and yield component),  $Y_1$  mean of the respective parameter on protected plots (plots with maximum protection) and  $Y_2$  - mean of the respective parameter in unprotected plots (i.e. untreated plots or treated plots). Percent yield recovery was calculated to compare the yield differences among fungicides and cultivars and other treatments using the formula:

$$YR (\%) \xrightarrow{PY - YUP} X 100$$

Where, YR is yield recovery in percent, PY is plot yield, YUP is yield of unsprayed plot and YSP is maximum yield of sprayed plots.

#### Cost and benefit analysis

The price of all the three potato tubers was assessed from the local market and the total price of the yield obtained from each treatment was computed on hectare basis. Input costs like fungicide, cultivars and labor were converted into hectare basis according to their frequencies used. The prices for each cultivar per kg were assessed. Fungicides cost was estimated based on the price of company. Cost of the labor was in Birr per man-days; cost of spray and spray equipment to spray one week, two weeks and three weeks up to nine weeks per hectare were also calculated. Cost of spray equipment (knapsack sprayer) was in Birr per day assessed. Based on the obtained data from the above mentioned parameters, cost benefit analysis was performed using partial budget analysis. Partial budget analysis is a method of organizing data and information about the cost and benefit of various agricultural alternatives (CIMMYT, 1988).

Partial budgeting is employed to assess profitability of any new technologies (practice) to be imposed to the agricultural business. Marginal analysis is concerned with the process of making choice between alternative factor-product combinations considering small changes. Marginal rate of return is a criterion which measures the effect of additional capital invested on net returns using new managements compared with the previous one (CIMMYT,1988). It provides the value of benefit obtained per the amount of additional cost incurred percentage. The formula is as follows:

$$MRR = \frac{DNI}{DIC}$$

Disease severity rating grade	Disease incidence %	Level of resistance /Susceptibility
0	0.0	No disease
1	10	Small lesion on the inoculated point with the lesion area less than 10% of the whole leaflet.
3	10 and 20	Lesion area between 10% and 20% of the whole leaflet
5	20 and 30	Lesion area between 20% and 30% of the whole leaflet, the waterish area less than 505 of the whole leaflet
7	30 and 60	Lesion area between 30% and 60% of the whole leaflet.
9	Over 60	Lesion area over 60% of the whole leaflet.

Where, MRR is marginal rate of returns, DNI, difference in net income compared with control, DIC, difference in input cost compared with control.

## Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for the disease parameters (Incidence, severity, AUDPC) and yields parameters (tuber yield per plant and yield loss) using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) version 9.1.3 software (SAS Institute, 2003). Least significance difference (LSD) was used to separate treatment means (P<0.05). Correlation analysis was performed to examine the relationship between severity of the disease (AUDPC, the independent variables) and tuber yield in the field plots.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Effect of fungicide application and late blight occurrence in relation to potato cultivars

#### **Disease incidence, severity and AUDPC**

During the study period in Holleta, late blight disease is favored by cool and humid conditions and it spread very fast under favorable conditions. Late blight incidence was high in Holleta during the main cropping season. The disease incidence was reached at the maximum of 91.5 % on the unsprayed control susceptible variety, Jalene and 55 and 38.5 % was

recorded on moderate resistant varieties, Gudene and Gera, respectively (Table 4; Figures 4 and 5). The percent final disease severity was recorded significant difference in sprayed and unsprayed plots. The highest severity reduction (78%) was recorded in all fungicide treated Gera variety. The highest severity was recorded in varieties of Jalene (83.5 %) and Gudene (30%) with untreated control (Table 4; Figures 6, 7, 8 and 9). On the whole, the application of fungicides, Victory 72 WP, Ridomil MZ 68 WG, Mancozeb and Horizon 680WG have arrested disease development more effectively compared to unsprayed control application. In all varieties, the application of fungicides reduced the progress of the disease as compared to unsprayed control, but Victory 72 WP highly reduced the progress of the disease compared to other three fungicides in all varieties (Table 4). In general, the results of the combined analysis showed that the interaction of varieties (host resistance) and fungicides was significant. The results showed that, no significant variations in late blight incidence and severity among the varieties and fungicides combinations. Nonetheless, significant variations in late blight incidence and severity were obtained when the fungicides were applied on susceptible variety, Jalene. The late blight symptoms appeared to start in treated and untreated plots at about the same time (about 37DAP) with uneven distribution of the pathogen. But gradually the disease severities become varied within treatments.



Figure 4 Experimental field study with Victory 72 fungicide treated of potato cultivar, Gera. Figure 5 Experimental field study site with unsprayed control plot of potato cultivar, Jalene.

Cultivar	Fungicide	Incidence (%)	Severity (%)	AUDPC
Gudene	Mancozeb	6.5	5	302.5
	Victory 72 WP	5	5	268.5
	Ridomil MZ 68 WG	5	6.5	278.5
	Horizon 680 WG	5	6.5	296
	Usprayed control	Incidence (%)     Sever       6.5     5       5     6.5       5     6.5       5     6.5       55     30       11.5     8.5       8.5     5       6.5     5       6.5     5       6.5     5       6.5     5       91.5     83.5       5     5       5     5       5     5       5     5       5     5       38.5     25       17.3     13.65       30.88     69.64       8.78     9.19       3.88     7.12	30	480
Jalene	Mancozeb	11.5	8.5	579
	Victory 72 WP	8.5	5	510
	Ridomil MZ 68 WG	6.5	5	442.5
	Horizon 680 WG	6.5	5	556.5
	Usprayed control	91.5	83.5	2457.5
Gera	Mancozeb	5	5	285
	Victory 72 WP	5	5	279
	Ridomil MZ 68 WG	5	5	244
	Horizon 680 WG	5	5	267.5
	Usprayed control	38.5	25	561
Mean	Mean	17.3	13.65	520.5
CV	CV	30.88	69.64	26.86
LSD (5%)	Fungicides	8.78	9.19	135.01
LSD (5%)	varieties	3.88	7.12	104.58

Table 4 Effect of fungicides and cultivar combinations on percentage disease incidence, percentage disease severity and area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) against late blight



Figure 6.

**Figure 7** 

Figure 6. Disease progressive curves of potato late blight incidence on potato varieties DAP= Days after planting

Figure 7. Effect of fungicides on disease progressive curves of potato late blight percentage incidence DAP= Days after planting; M= Mancozeb; V= Victory 72 WP; R= Ridomil MZ 68 WG; H= Horizon 680 WG; C=unsprayed control



Figure 8

Figure 9

**Figure 8**. Disease progressive curves of potato late blight severity on potato varieties DAP= Days after planting.

**Figure 9** Effect of fungicides on disease progressive curves of potato late blight severity percentage DAP= Days after planting; M= Mancozeb; V= Victory 72 WP; R= Ridomil MZ 68 WG; H= Horizon 680 WG; C=unsprayed control



**Figure 10** Area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) of late blight in relation to cultivars of potato treated with fungicides under field conditions. MG=Mancozeb with Gudene, VG=Victory 72 with Gudene, RG= Ridomil MZ 68 WG with Gudene, HG= Horizon 680 WG with Gudene, CG= unsprayed control with Gudene MJ=Mancozeb with Jallene, VJ=Victory 72 with Jallene, RJ= Ridomil MZ 68 with Jalene, HJ= horizon 680 with Jalene, CJ=unsprayed control with Jalene MGE=Mancozeb with Gera, VGE=Victory 72 with Gera, RGE= Ridomil MZ 68 with Gera, HGE= Horizon 680 with Gera, CGE=unsprayed control with Gera, RGE= Ridomil MZ 68 with Gera, HGE= Horizon 680 with Gera, CGE=unsprayed control with Gera

Based on this, minimum AUDPC 244% days and 267.5days was observed on Gera treated with Ridomil MZ 68 WG and Horizon 680 WG followed by Gudene treated with Victory 72 WG (267.5% days). Throughout the cropping season, the combined effect of varieties and fungicides on disease development was significant based on AUDPC values (Table 4; Figure 10). This indicates that different fungicides – variety combinations reduced the late blight development. On the susceptible variety, Jalene, the AUDPC without fungicide spray was over the moderate relatively varieties, Gudene and Gera (Table 4; Figure 10). The data analysis for AUDPC (area under disease progress curve) for severity showed a Co efficient

variation (CV) value of 26.86 with least significant difference (LSD) of 104.58 for potato varieties and 135.01 for fungicides, there was significant differences between fungicides and varieties. The maximum AUDPC (2457.5% days) was recorded in absolute control (untreated Jalene). The highest AUDPC values were resulted in reduction of green leaf area on potato which was the principal effects of late blight epidemics contributed to the observed declines in yield and yield components (Figure 10).

In Ethiopia, potato late blight has been a serious problem since the introduction of the crop in to the country. Between 1987 and 2006, eighteen potato cultivars were released in Ethiopia (Woldegiorgis et al., 2008). All of these cultivars came from potato germplasm introduced by the CIP as varieties resistance to P. infestans. Since then attempts have been made to identify resistant varieties. Occurrence of *P. infestans* in sub-Saharan Africa has been closely linked to the introduction of susceptible potato varieties (Hakiza et al., 2001; Olanya et al., 2001). In Ethiopia, Mesfin et al., (2009) reported that Ridomil and Mancozb were used to control potato late blight disease. Habtamu et al., (2012) reported that the fungicides and host plant resistance are among the most efficient control options available to growers. Field trials were conducted at Hawassa and Shashemene, Southern Ethiopia to evaluate the effectiveness of fungicide application regimes on four potato cultivars. Application of fungicides considerably reduced late blight progress, with a corresponding increase in tuber yields. Application of Ridomil WP reduced the disease development and increased tuber yield in all cultivars compared to the other two fungicides, Chlorothanoil and Pencozeb. The field experiment was carried out to evaluate the management of potato (Gudene) late blight using a new fungicide, Victory 72 WP and Ridomil Gold to select the more effective dosage of the new fungicide option against potato late blight under field conditions at Toke Kutaye district of West Showa, Ethiopia (Amin et al., (2013). In this study, Victory 72 WP was retarded late blight development consistently when combined with all varieties (Gera, Gudene and Jalene) and the highest yields were obtained from plots treated with Victory 72 WP. The present study was determined that application of Victory 72 WP and Ridomil MZ 68 WG controls late blight and increases vields markedly.

In other country reports also supported by this present study, Speiser et al., (2006) reported that the effect of copper fungicides against late blight for some of the potato cultivars in England. The copper fungicide treatment reduced the foliar blight severity in all the cultivars by 27% on average, and increased yield by 20% on average. Usman et al., (2010) reported that the fungicides and host plant resistance are among the most efficient control options available to growers. Field trials were conducted in 2008 at the vegetable Research area of the Institute of Horticultural Sciences, Faisalabad, Pakistan to evaluate the effectiveness of three fungicides viz. Tazoline, Flint max and Kocide application on eight potato cultivars. Application of fungicides considerably reduced late blight progress, with a corresponding increase in tuber yields. The highest reduction in disease was achieved by applying Tazoline in the cultivar SH-103, followed by Kocide and least by Flint Max at an intervals of 07, 14, 21 and 28 days. In this study, the highest reduction in disease was achieved by applying Victory 72 WP in the cultivars of Jallene, Gudene and Gera, followed by Ridomil MZ 68 WG, and least by Manozeb and Horizon 680 WG. Dowley and Sullivan (1994) conducted field experiments in the Irish Republic to determine the effectiveness of spray programs using mixtures of Phenylamide and Mancozeb in controlling late blight of potatoes and the results revealed that mixtures significantly delayed disease onset in 6 of the 7 years of the experiments, while the level of leaf blight at the end of the season was significantly lower in 5 years.

## Effect of fungicide application and variety resistance in potato tuber yield and Yield loss

## Tuber yield

Weekly application of fungicide resulted in higher tuber yields in the susceptible variety when compared to the untreated control treatments. Higher tuber yield was 48.47 (tons/ha) was recorded on the variety Gera followed by Gudene and Jalene. The control treatments (no sprays) had the lowest tuber yield. Potato tuber yield was higher in the resistant than the susceptible variety, Jalene (Table 5). Fungicide application considerably increased the yield of susceptible varieties and also resistant varieties. The total tuber yield varied depending on the combination of varieties and fungicide application (Table 5). The moderately resistant varieties, Gudene and Gera gave highest total yield as compared to the susceptible variety, Jalene (Table 5). Application of Victory 72 WP fungicide

increased the total yield of potato and gave highest yield as compared to other treatments (Table 5). The results were suggested that superiority of Victory 72 in controlling late blight and ensuring higher yield compared to the other fungicides tested in this experiment. The improved variety Gudene and Gera combined with fungicide application had significantly higher marketable yields as compared to the susceptible variety, Jalene. But there is also significant difference between the yields of the susceptible variety when combined with fungicide application. However, resistance to late blight in these cultivars has since been overcome and significant yield losses experienced (Woldegiorgis et al., 2008). Significant variations also existed between the resistant and susceptible varieties in terms of marketable and unmarketable yield (Table 5). This was in agreement with Asamenew and Bahru (2000) have also reported increased marketable and total tuber yield in resistant varieties under Ethiopian conditions. Habtamu et al., (2012) also reported that the evaluation of different potato variety and fungicide combinations for the management of potato late blight in Southern Ethiopia, the White flower and Agazar potato varieties were highly susceptible to late blight and early season

infection, contributing significantly to the lower yields observed. Fry and Shtienberg (1990) reported that complete suppression of yield in susceptible varieties was possible if the disease occurs early in the season. Mukalazi *et al.* (2001) also reported that the susceptible varieties could be preferred by farmers due to their good agronomic characteristics, and hence fungicides must be used to ensure disease control. It was, therefore, necessary to establish the type of fungicide needed and it was important to establish the response of different cultivars to fungicidal application and variety combined.

For commercial production of potato, Kankwatsa *et al.* (2002) suggested that integration of host resistance and fungicide application reduced late blight severity by more than 50% and resulted in yield gains of more than 30%, which clearly supports the present investigation. Fontem and Aighew (1993) also reported that fungicides applied for late blight management increased tuber yield by as much as 60%. In both resistant and susceptible varieties, in this study showed that highest yield occurred when Victory 72 WP fungicide was used in combinations with both resistant and susceptible varieties.

Cultivar	Fungicide	Marketable	yield	Yield	advantage	Yield loss (%)
		(tons/ha)		over co	ntrol (%)	
Gudene	Mancozeb	46.92			137.81	3.2
	Victory 72 WP	43.84			122.2	9.55
	Ridomil MZ 68 WG	46.61			136.24	3.84
	Horizon 680 WG	44.45			125.29	8.29
	Usprayed control	35.81			81.5	26.12
Jalene	Mancozeb	39.21			98.73	19.1
	Victory 72 WP	43.21			119.01	10.85
	Ridomil MZ 68 WG	40.13			103.4	17.21
	Horizon 680 WG	30.03			52.2	38.04
	Usprayed control	19.73			0	59.29
Gera	Mancozeb	40.77			106.64	15.89
	Victory 72 WP	48.47			145.67	0
	Ridomil MZ 68 WG	45.07			128.43	7.01
	Horizon 680 WG	41.36			109.63	14.67
	Usprayed control	31.49			59.55	35.04
Mean	Mean	40				
CV	CV	9.65				
LSD (5%)	fungicides	3.73				
LSD (5%)	varieties	2.89				

Table 5 Effect of fungicides and cultivar combinations on marketable tuber yield, yield advantage over control and percent yield loss against late blight



**Figure 11 Mean potato tuber yield tons/ha in different treatments against late blight of potato** MG=Mancozeb with Gudene, VG=Victory 72 with Gudene,RG= Ridomil MZ 68 WG with Gudene, HG= Horizon 680 WG with Gudene, CG= unsprayed control with Gudene MJ=Mancozeb with Jallene, VJ=Victory 72 with Jallene, RJ= Ridomil MZ 68 with Jalene, HJ= horizon 680 with Jalene, CJ=unsprayed control with Jalene MGE=Mancozeb with Gera, VGE=Victory 72 with Gera, RGE= Ridomil MZ 68 with Gera, HGE= Horizon 680 with Gera, CG=unsprayed control with Gera

Nyankanga et al. (2003) suggested that if susceptible potato varieties are used with rigorous spray regimes of Ridomil fungicide, then effective late blight control and high yield can be attained. Hakiza et al. (2001) and Olanya et al. (2001) reported that even if occurrence of P. infestans in sub-Saharan Africa has been closely linked to the introduction of susceptible potato varieties, successful management of late blight through the foliar fungicides application is dependent on several factors such as proper timing of initial fungicide application, use of effective dosage, timely scheduling of fungicide intervals and adequate coverage of foliage. In this study, Victory 72 WP consistently retarded late blight development when combined with all varieties and the highest yield was obtained from plots treated with Victory 72 WP. The present study has determined that an application of Victory 72 WP and Ridomil MZ 68 WG controls late blight and increases yields markedly (Table 5). Results of this study were consistent with previous studies and indicate that a combination of resistance varieties and scheduled application of protective and contact fungicides can reduce foliar late blight to acceptable levels in most situations (Clayton and Shattock, 1995; Kirk et al., 2001). The use of protectant and systemic fungicides for managing late blight has perhaps been the most studied aspect of late blights management in temperate countries (Olanya et al., 2001). Olanya et al. (2001) also reported that, with the exception of optimum or scheduled fungicide applications based on favorable weather conditions, the most economical option for disease management is the use of host-plant resistance. The use of cultivars with durable resistance combined with scheduled applications of protective fungicides has been reported as useful for managing late blight (Simons, 1972), as well as other diseases (Van der Plank, 1963). The performance of Victory 72 and Ridomil MZ in controlling late blight under present investigation has been supported by many researchers throughout the world (Singh and Shekhawat, 1999; Singh et al., 2001; Islam et al., 2002; Tsakiris et al., 2002; Amin et al., 2013). In tropical Africa, the contact fungicide Dithane M 45 (Mancozeb 80% WP) and the systemic fungicide Ridomil MZ are widely used to control late blight (Olanya et al., 2001; Fontem, 2001).

#### **Yield loss estimation**

The variations in tuber yield losses were observed among different treatments. In comparison, tuber yield losses in untreated plots were notably higher than sprayed plots. The highest tuber yield losses next to the absolute control (59.29%) was recorded from Jalene treated with Horizon 680 WG (38.04%) followed by untreated Gera (35.04%). According to Bradshaw (1992) and Thind *et al.* (1989), potato yield loss attributed primarily to late blight is dependent on variety susceptibility or tolerance / resistant and disease management practices.

## Correlation among yield and disease parameters

Potato tuber yield was correlated with different disease parameters, varieties and fungicides using SAS software. The correlations among the disease parameters with the tuber yield showed a significant negative correlation. There was significant difference between varieties with all parameters and there were significant variations with fungicides and yield. On the other hand, there was high relationship between all the parameters viz. severity, incidence and yield while severity and incidence showed negative correlation with yield (Table 6). Habtamu *et al.*, (2012) also reported that the highly significant correlation between disease severity and percentage reductions in tuber yield due to late blight in Hawassa.

## Cost and benefit analysis

Total costs of production of any crop include both variable (operating) and fixed costs. Variable operating costs vary across the treatments because of local material needs and costs. Major variable costs for producing potatoes for hectare include investment purchases for treatments, tubers, fertilizers and management (labor) costs. Similarly, cost and benefit ratio was computed for all treatments using the partial budget analysis method. The price of potato tubers at Holleta from July to October was assessed and an average price 5 birr/kg was taken and used to

compute the total sale (Gross field benefits) and Net benefit of the total produce obtained. The cost of chemicals for hectare was calculated to be 5000 birr for hectare on average. The data analysis indicated that the highest Gross field benefits was maintained from Gera treated with Victory 72 WP (211,850 birr /ha) followed by Gudene treated with Mancozeb and Ridomil MZ 68 WG with mean values of 204,100 birrs /ha and 202,550 birr /ha respectively. Moreover, Gera treated with Ridomil MZ 68 WG and Gudene treated with Horizon 680 WG also recorded promising net benefit with mean value of 194,850 birr /ha and 191,750 birrs /ha respectively. The least Net benefit next to the absolute control (75,150 birr /ha) was untreated with Gera and Jalene treated with Horizon 680 with mean value of 133,950 birr /ha and 134,650 birr /ha, respectively (Table 6).

The data analysis indicated that the highest Marginal rate of return was maintained from Gera treated with Victory 72 WP (1951.4%) followed by Gudene treated with Mancozeb and Ridomil MZ 68 WG with mean values of 1842.1% and 1820%, respectively. Moreover, Gera treated with Ridomil MZ 68 WG and Gudene treated with Horizon 680 WG also recorded promising Marginal rate of return with mean values of 1710 and 1665.7%, respectively (Table 7). Generally, successful potato production and management are always challenging and, as for any agriculture commodity, which is very difficult. However, potato production remains an economically feasible and profitable enterprise for many growers at Holleta district, West Showa, Ethiopia.

	Varieties	Fungicides	AUDPC severity	AUDPC incidence	Yield
Varieties			0.9909	0.7349	0.4897
Fungicdes			0.40896	0.47087	-0.56178
AUDPC severity				0.96264	-0.75804
AUDPC incidence					-0.77607
Yield					

Table 6 Correlation coefficient (r) among disease parameters and tuber yield components in different fungicides and cultivars under field conditions.

Table 7 Yield and cost inputs for the management of potato late blight using different fungicides and varieties

	UNIT	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10	T11	T12	T13	T14	T15
Marketable yield	Tons	46.92	43.84	46.61	44.45	35.81	39.21	43.21	40.13	33.03	19.73	40.77	48.47	45.07	41.36	31.49
tons/ha																
Gross	Thousands	234.6	219.2	233.05	222.25	179.0	196.05	216.05	200.65	165.15	98.65	203.85	242.35	225.35	206.8	157.45
field benefits	of birr															
Cost	Thousands	17	17	17	17	10	17	17	17	17	10	17	17	17	17	10
of treatments	of birr															
Cost of labor and	Thousands	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5
management	of birr															
Total cost	Thousands	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	23.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	23.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	23.5
	of birr															
Net benefit	Thousands	204.1	188.7	202.55	191.75	155.5	165.55	185.55	170.15	134.65	75.15	173.35	211.8	194.85	176.3	133.95
	of birr															

Table 8 Partial budget analysis for the management of potato late blight using different fungicides and potato varieties under field conditions.

Parameters	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6	T7	T8	Т9	T10	T11	T12	T13	T14	T15
Potato tuber yield	46.92	43.84	46.61	44.45	35.81	39.21	43.21	40.13	33.03	19.73	40.77	48.47	45.07	41.36	31.49
(tons/ha)															
Potato sale	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
(thousand birr /ton)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Sale Revenue(1*2)	234.6	219.2	233.05	222.25	179.0	196.05	216.05	200.65	165.15	98.65	203.85	242.35	225.35	206.8	157.45
Input and labor cost	20 5	20 5	20 F	20 5	22 F	20 5	20 F	20 5	20 5	22 F	20 F	20 F	20 5	20 F	22 F
(Thousand birr)	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	23.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	23.5	30.5	50.5	30.5	30.5	23.5
Marginal cost	7	7	7	7	0	7	7	7	7	0	7	7	7	7	0
(Thousand birr /ha)	/	/	/	/	0	/	/	/	/	0	/	/	/	/	0
Net profit (3-4)	204.1	188.7	202.55	191.75	155.5	165.55	185.55	170.15	134.65	75.15	173.35	211.85	194.85	176.3	133.95
(thousand birr/ha)															
Marginal benefit	120.05	112 55	1274	1166	00.25	00.4	110 /	05	FOF	0	00.2	126.6	1107	101 15	<b>F</b> O 0
(thousand birr/ha)	126.95	115.55	127.4	110.0	80.55	90.4	110.4	95	59.5	U	98.2	130.0	119.7	101.15	50.0
MRR (7/5) (%)	1842.1	1622.1	1820	1665.7	0	1291.4	1577.1	1357.1	850	0	1402.9	1951.4	1710	1445	0
Cost benefit ratio	6.69	6.19	6.64	6.29	6.62	5.43	6.08	5.58	4.41	3.2	5.68	6.95	6.38	5.78	5.7
(UDIC)											1		1		

T1=Mancozeb with Gudene cultivar, T2=Victory 72 with Gudene cultivar,T3= Ridomil MZ 68 WG with Gudene cultivar, T4= Horizon 680 WG with Gudene, T5= unsprayed control with Gudene cultivar; T6=Mancozeb with Jalene cultivar, T7=Victory 72 withJalene cultivar, T8= Ridomil MZ 68 withJalene cultivar, T9= horizon 680 withJalene cultivar, T10=unsprayed control with Jalene cultivar; T11=Mancozeb with Gera cultivar, T12=Victory 72 with Gera cultivar, T13= Ridomil MZ 68 with Gera cultivar, T14= Horizon 680 with Gera cultivar, T15=unsprayed control with Gera cultivar.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Late blight caused by *Phytophthora infestans*(Mont) de Barvis one of the most significant constraints to potato production in Holleta, West Showa, Ethiopia. However, no endeavor has been made in the management of the disease so far in Holleta district of West showa, Ethiopia. Fungicides and host plant resistance are among the most efficient control options available to Application of fungicide growers. treatments considerably reduced late blight disease progress, with a corresponding decrease in disease index and increase in their tuber yields. In this study, Victory 72 WP has retarded late blight development consistently when combined with all varieties and the highest vields were obtained from plots treated with Victory 72 WP. Higher tuber yield was 48.47 (tons/ha) was recorded on the variety Gera followed by Gudene and Jalene and in the control treatments (no sprays) had the lowest tuber yield. Potato tuber yield was higher in the resistant varieties than the susceptible variety, Jalene. Economic analysis revealed that the highest net benefit was obtained from Gera when sprayed fungicides at intervals followed by Gudene and the least were obtained from Jalene unsprayed plot. The present study has determined that an application of Victory 72 WP and Ridomil MZ 68 WG were more economical and feasible for the management of potato late blight and increases tuber yields markedly. Further, cost effective and feasible integrated management options need to be developed for potato late blight in the country. To validate and recommend the management strategy, the study should be repeated in the area using resistant cultivars in integration with other recommended cultural practices in the area should be given attention to provide other alternative for late blight management in potato. Small and large scale farmers of potato growers should be encouraged to use host plant resistance varieties in conjunction with victory 72 WP and Ridomil MZ 68 WG fungicides applications in late blight endemic areas.

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors stated that no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

Adane H Miranda M Willemien JM, Alfons OL, Admasu T, Paul C (2010) Analysis of seed potato systems in Ethiopia. American J. Potato Res. 21: 45-56.

- Agrios GN (2005) Plant Pathology, Academic Press, New York, U.S.A. pp. 656–662.
- Ahl P Voisard C and Defago G. (1986) Iron-bound siderophores, cyanic acid and antibiotic involved in suppression of *Thielaviopsis basicola* by a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain. J. Phytopathol 166: 121-134.
- Ahmad I and Mirza JI (1995) Occurrence of A2 mating type of Phytophthora infestans in Pakistan. Nat. Sem. on Res. & Dev. Of Potato Prod. In Pakistan, Apr. 23-25, NARC/PSPDP/PARC, Islamabad.
- Ajanga S (1993) *Status of Bacterial Wilt of potato in Kenya*. In: Hartman G. L, .Hayward A.C (eds)Bacterial wilts. ACIAR Proceedings, Canberra 45:338-340.
- Albert W (1984) Consultancy Report of the Possibilities for Application of Small-scale Potato Storage Techniques in Developing Countries. FAO. Rome.
- American Phytopathological Society. (2011) The Irish Potato Famine and the Birth of Plant Pathology.[online](2011)Availablefrom: http://www.apsnet.org/publications/apsnetfeatures/P ages/PotatoLateBlightPlantDiseasesComponents.aspx [2011-06-10]
- Amin Mohammed, Mulugeta Negeri and Thangavel Selvaraj (2013) Field Evaluation of New Fungicide, Victory 72 WP for Management of Potato and Tomato Late Blight (Phytophthora infestans (Mont) de Bary) in West Shewa Highland, Oromia, Ethiopia.Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Vol. 4: 1-8.
- Andrivon D Pelle R and Ellissech D (2006) Assessing resistance types and level to epidemicsFrom the analysis of disease progress curves. Principles and application to potato late blight. American journal of potato research,83:455-461.
- Asamenew T and Bahru Z (2000) Transfer of improved potato varieties and productiontechnologies in the southeastern highlands of Ethiopia. African Potato Association Conference Proceedings Uganda. 5: 153-156.
- Becker OJ and Schwinn FJ (1993) Control of soil borne pathogens with living bacteria and fungi: Status and outlook. Pesticide Sci. 37:355-363.
- Bekele B Abate E Asefa A and Dickinson M (2011) Incidence of Potato viruses and bacteria wilt disease in West Amhara Region of Ethiopia. J. Plant Pathology. 93: 149-157.
- Bekele K and Medhin G (2000)Effect of planting date on late blight severity and tuber yield on different potato varieties, Pest Management Journal of Ethiopia 4:51-63
- Bekele K and Yaynu H (1996) Tuber yield loss assessment of potato cultivars with differentlevel of resistance to late blight.pp. 149-152. In: Eshetu, Bekele, Abdurrahman Abdullah and Aynekulu Yemane (Eds) Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> annual conference of crop protection Society of Ethiopia 18-19 May, Addis Ababa Ethiopia, CPSE, and Addis Ababa.
- Belete A Dillon JL F.M and Anderson (1991) Development of Agriculture in Ethiopia since the 1975 Land Reform. Agricultural Economics (Netherlands) (6):159-175.
- Bezabih E and Mengistu N (2011) Potato value chain analysis and development in Ethiopia in case of Tigray and SNNP regions. International potato center (CIP-Ethiopia), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 82.

- Borgal, HB., Arend C Jacobi Kanyarukis S Kulazia A Lemaga BL Mogaeka and Prante W (1980) Production, marketing and consumption of potato in the Ethiopia highlands' (Holleta, Awassa, and Alemaya) Center of Advanced training in agricultural development technology. University of Berlin.
- Boudreau MA (1993) Effect of intercropping beans with maize on the severity of angular leaf spot of beans in Kenya, PlantPathology12:16-25.
- Bradshaw NJ (1992) The use of fungicides for control of potato late blight (*Phytophthorainfestans*) Aspects of Applied Biology 33: 101-106.
- Common Wealth Agricultural Bureaux International (CABI) (2004) Crop Protection Compendium Wallington, UK. CD, ROM.
- Campbell CL and Madden LV (1990) Introduction to Plant Disease Epidemiology. John Wiley, New York.
- Cao K and Forrer HR (2001) Current status and prosperity on biological control of potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) J. Agric. Univ. Hebei 45:123-129.
- Carlil MJ (1985) The zoospore and its problems, Water, Fungi and Plants, ed. Ayers, P.G. and L. Boddy. Cambridge University Press, London. P. 106-118.
- Clayto RC and Shattock RC (1995) Reduced fungicide inputs to control Phytophthora infestans in potato cultivars with high levels of polygenic resistance. Potato Research 38: 399–405.
- CIMMYT (1988) Farm agronomic data to farmer's recommendations a training manual completely revised edition International maize and wheat center, Mexico.
- Cooke DEL A Drenth JM Duncan G Wagels and Brasier CM (2000) A molecular phylogeny of *Phytophthora* and related oomycetes. Reprod. Fertil, Dev 12:17-32.
- Cooke LR Schepers H Hermansen A Bain RA Bradshaw NJ Ritchie F Shaw DS Evenhuis A Kessel GJT Wander JGN Andersson B Hansen JG Hannukkala A Naerstad R Nielsen BJ (2011) Epidemiology and integrated control of potato late blight in Europe. Potato Res 54:183-222.
- Deahl KL Demuth SP Rivera-Pena A (1995) Identification of mating types and metalaxyl resistance in North American populations of Phytophthora infestans. Am. Potato Journal 72: 35–49
- Denitsa N and M Naidenova (2005) Screening the antimicrobial activity of actinomycetes strains isolated from Antarctica. J. Cult. Collections 4:29-35.
- Dorn B Mus T Krebs H Fried PM and Forrer HR. (2007) Control of late blight in organic Potato production: evaluation of copper-free preparations under field, growth chamber and laboratory conditions. Eur J. Plant Pathol, 119:217-240.
- Dowley LJ and E O'Sullivan. (1994) The effect of phenylamide / mancozeb mixtures on the control of late blight of potatoes. Irish J. Agric. Food Res., 33: 177-181.
- Duniway JM (1983) Role of physical factors in the development of *Phytophthora*diseases. In: Erwin, D.C., S. Bartnicki-Garcia and P.H. Tsao (eds.)*Phytophthora*: its biology taxonomy, ecology, and pathology. APS, St. Paul, MN. P. 175-187
- EARO. (2002) Directory of released crop varieties and their recommended cultural practices. Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization, Addis Abeba, Ethiopia.

- Elad Y and Chet I (1987) Possible role of competition for nutrients in bio control of *Pythium*damping off bacteria. Phytopathology 77:190-195.
- Ephrem Debebe Zegeye Amutha Santhanam Dereje Gorfu Mesfin Tessera and Bekele, Kassa. (2011) Biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against *Phytophthora infestans* under greenhouse conditions. Journal of Agricultural Technology. 4:85-94.
- Erwin DC and Ribeiro OK (1996) *Phytophthora*, Diseases Worldwide. APS, Press. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, M.N. P. 346-353.
- FAO (2012) *Production Year Book*. Vol. 96, World Agriculture Information Center Database, Rome, Italy.
- FAOSTAT (2008) Statistical database of the food and agriculture of the united nations. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- FAOSTAT (2012) Statistical database of the food and agriculture of the united nations. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Fernández-Northcote EN O. Navia and A Gandarillas. (2000) Basis of strategies for chemical control of potato late blight developed by PROINPA in Bolivia. Fitopatol, 35(3): 137-149.
- Fontem DA and Aighew B (1993) Effect of fungicides on late blight control and yield loss of potato in the western highlands of Cameroon. International Journal of Pest Manage 39: 152–155.
- Fontem DA (1995) Yield of potato as influenced by crop sanitation and reduced fungicidal treatments. *Tropicultura* 13:99-102.
- Fontem DA (2001) Influence of rate and frequency of Ridomil Plus applications on late blight severity and potato yields. Africa Crop Science Journal 9: 235-243.
- Fry WE and Goodwin SB (1997) Resurgence of the Irish potato famine fungus, Bio science 47:363–371.
- Fry WE and Shtienberg D (1990) Integration of host resistance and fungicide to manage diseases. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 12: 111–116.
- Gavino PD CD Smart RW Sandrock JS Miller PB Hamm TY Lee RM Davis and Fry WE (2000) Implications of Sexual Reproduction for *Phytophthora infestans* in the United States: Generation of an Aggressive Lineage Plant Dis 84:731-735.
- George K and S Preston (2004) Organic alternatives for late blight control in potatoes. Nat. Sustainable Agric. Info. Serv. U.S. Deptt. Agric. USDA USA.
- Ghani A M Sadiq M Habib M Shafiq and J Iqbal (1995) Late blight control in potato. pp: 312-6. In: Proc. Nat. Sem. on Res. & Dev. Of Potato Prod. In Pakistan, Apr. 23-25, NARC/PSPDP/PARC, Islamabad.
- Ghorbani RS Wilcockson and C Leifert. (2007) Alternative treatments for late blight control in organic potato: Antagonistic microorganisms and compost extracts for activity against *Phytophtora infestans*. Potato Res, 48:181-189.
- Graham DR. and Webb MJ (1991)Micronutrients and disease resistace and tolerance in plants.In :Mortvedt,J.J., Cox, F.R.,Shuman,L.M.,Welch,R.M.(Eds.),Micronutrientsin Agriculture,2<sup>nd</sup> ed.Soil science society of America Inc.,Madison,Wisconsin,USA,PP.329-370.
- Gregory PH (1983) Some major epidemics caused by *Phytophthora*. Its Biology, Taxonomy, Ecology and

Pathology. D. C. Erwin., S. Bartnicki Garcia and P. H. Tsao, eds. APS Press, St. Paul, MN. P. 271-278.

- Grewal HS Graham RD and Rengel Z (1996) Genotypic variation in zinc efficiency and resistance to crown rot disease (*Fusarium graminearum* Schw. Group 1) in wheat. Plant Soil 186:219-226.
- Grunwald NJ Sturbaum AK Montes GR Serrano EG Lozoya-Saldana H and Fry WE (2006) Selection for fungicide resistance within a growing season in field populations of *Phytophthora infestans* at the center of origin, Phytopathology 96:1397-1403.
- Grepperud S (1996) Population Pressure and Land Degradation: The Case of Ethiopia Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 30:18-33.
- Habtamu K Alemaychhu C Bekele K and Tiwari K (2012) Evaluation of different potato variety and fungicide combinations for the management of potato late blight (*Phytopthora insfestans*) in Southern Ethiopia, IJLSCI; 1(1): 8-15.
- Hakiza JJ Turyamureeba G Kakuhenzire RM Odongo B Mwanga RM Kanzikwera R and Adipala E (2001) Potato and sweet potato improvement in Uganda: a historica Perspective. In: Adipala, E., Nampala, P., Osiru, M., (Eds.), Proceedings of the Fifth Triennial Conference of the African Potato Association, 29 May–2 June 2000, Kampala, Uganda, pp. 47–58.
- Hartman GL and Haung YH (1995) Characterstics of blight on tomatoes in Taiwan. Plant Disease,79: 849-852.
- Hijmans RJ Forbes GA and Walker TS (2000) Estimating the global severity of potato late blight with GIS linked disease forecast models. Plant Pathology 49: 697–705.
- Holetta Agricultural Research Center (HARC) (2005) Annual Research Report. Holetta, Ethiopia.
- Horton D and Sawyer RL (1985) The potato as a world food crop, with special reference to developing areas. In: Li PH, ed. potato physiology. London, Academic Press 1-34.
- Howie WJ and Suslow TV (1991) Role of antibiotic biosynthesis in the inhibition of, pythium ultimum in the cotton spermosphere and rhizosphere by pseudomonas fluorescens,Mol. Plant Microbes, Interact 4:393-399.
- Islam MR Dey TK Rahman MM Hossain MA and Ali MS (2002) Efficacy of some fungicides in controlling late blight of potato. Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research 27(2): 257-261.
- Jindal KK, Singh H. Meeta M (1988) Biological control of phytophtora infestans on potato, Indian journal of plant pathology 6, 59-62
- Judelson HS LJ Spielman and Shattock RC (1995) Genetic mapping and non-Mendelian segregation of mating type loci in the oomycete, *Phytophthora infestans*, Genetics, 141:503-512.
- Johnson DA JR. Alldredge and Hamm PB (1998) Expansion of potato late blight forecasting models for the Colombian Basin of Washington and Oregon. Plant Dis., 82: 642-645.
- Kankwatsa P Adipala E Hakiza JJ Olanya M and Kidanemariam HM (2002) Effect of integrating planting time, fungicide application and host resistance on potato late blight development in South-western Uganda. Journal of Phytopathology 150: 248–257.

- Kankwatsa P Hakiza JJ Olanya Kidanemariam HM Adipala E (2003) Efficacy of different fungicide spray schedules for control of potato late blight in southwestern Uganda. Crop Protection 22: 545–552.
- Ketama A (1999) Bacterial wilt (*Ralstoni solanacearum*) of potato in South and Central Ethiopia: distribution, latency and pathogen characterization. M.Sc. , thesis, Addis Ababa Univ., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 83p.
- Khan MA A Rashid Q Ullah and Iqbal MJ (2003) Control of Late Blight of Potato by Foliar Application of Fungicides. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 5(4): 540-542.
- Khanna RN (1989) Disease management through cultural and chemical methods. In: SAARC Training Course on Late Blight of Potato, held at CPRI, Shimla, H.P., India from 17-31 July, 1989. pp 96-106.
- Kidane-Mariam HM (1980) Project Proposal for the Development of an Ethiopian Potato Program. Addis Ababa. Manuscript.
- Kirk WW Niemira BA and Stein JM (2001) Influence of storage temperature on rate of potato tuber tissue infection caused by Phytophthora infestans (Mont.) de Bary estimated by digital image analysis. Potato Research 44: 87-96.
- Kirk WW FM Abu-El Samen JB Muhinyuza R Hammerschmidt DS Douches CA Thillc H Groza and Thompson AL (2005) Evauation of potato late blight management utilizing host plant resistance and reduced rates and frequencies of fungicide applications Crop Prot., 24: 961-970
- Konam JK and Guest DI (2002) Leaf litter mulch reduces the survival of *Phytophthora palmivora* under cocoa trees in Papua New Guinea. Australasian Plant Pathology, 31:381-383.
- Legard DET Y Lee and Fry WE (1995) Pathogenic specialization in *Phytophthora infestans*: Aggressiveness on tomato. Phytopathology 85:1356-1361.
- Loper JE (1988) Role of fluorescent siderophores production in biological control of *Pythium ultimum* by a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain, Phytopathology 78:166-172
- Lumsden RD Lewis JA and Fravel DR (1995) Formulation and delivery of biocontrol agents for use against soil borne plant pathogens. In Biorational Pest Control Agents, Formulation and Delivery Eds. F.R, Hall and J.W. Barry. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C. P.166-182.
- Marschner H (1995) Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2nd (ed.), Academic, London. P. 889.
- Matheron ME and Matejka JE (1991) Effect of sodium tetrathiocarbamate, metalaxyl and fosety- Al on development and control of Phytophthora root rot of citrus. Plant Dis., 75: 264-8.
- Medhin GW Giorgis Endale Gebre Kiflu Bedane and Bekele Kassa (2001) Country Profile on Potato Production and Utilization: Ethiopia. Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization (EARO), Holetta Agricultural Research Centre, National Potato Research Program.
- Medhin W Atsede S.Endale G Agajie T Bekele K Dangachew B Yohannesse L and Kiflu B (2006) Transforming the traditional potato production through improved technologies in the central highlands of Ethiopia. *In*: Tsedeke Abate (ed) Successes with value chain. Proceeding of scaling up and scaling outagricultural

technologies in Ethiopia: an international conference, 9-11may 2006, Addis Ababa.

- Mengel K and Kirkby EA (2001) Principles of Plant Nutrition, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Kluwer, Amsterdam, Netherlands. P. 847
- Mesfin FJ (2009) Review of research on diseases of root and tuber crops in Ethiopia. In: Increasing Crop Production through Improved Plant Protection Vol II (eds.) Abraham Tadesse). Plant Protection Society of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia Pp. 169-230
- Michael VV Wang JF Midmore DJ and Hartman GL (1997) Effects of intercropping and soil amendment with urea andcalcium oxide on the incidence of bacterial wilt of tomatoand survival of soil-borne *Pseudomonas solanacearum* inTaiwan: *Plant Pathology* 46:600-610.
- Mitani S Araki S Yamaguchi T Takii Y Ohshima T and Matsuo N (2002) Biological properties of the novel fungicide cyazofamid against *Phytophthora infestans* on tomato and *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* on cucumber. Pest Manag Sci. 58:139-145.
- MoARD (2013) Crop development and crop variety register. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Moeller K Dilger M Habermeyer J Zinkernagel V Flier WG and Hausladen H (2009) Population studies on *Phytophthora infestans* on potatoes and tomatoes in southern Germany. Eur J Plant Pathol. 124:659-672.
- Morris RO (1995) Genes specifying auxin and cytokinin biosynthesis in pathogens. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 37:509-538.
- Mukalazi J Adipala E Sengooba T Hakiza JJ Olanya M and Kidanemariam HM (2001) Variability in potato late severity and its effect on tuber yield in Uganda. Africa Crop Science Journal 9: 195–201.
- Namanda S Adipala E Olanya OM Hakiza JJ El-Bedewy R and Bhagsari AS (2001) Integration of host resistance and minimum fungicide application for management of Potato late blight in Uganda. Fifth Biennial Conference of the African Crop Science Society (Abstract), October 19–26, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Namanda S OM Olanya E Adipala JJ Hakiza RE Bedewy AS Baghsari and Ewell P (2004) Fungicide application and host resistance for potato late blight management: benefits assessment from on-farm studies in S.W. Uganda. Crop Prot., 23(11): 1075-1083.
- Negi S Ivanchenko MG and Muday GK (2008) Ethylene regulates lateral root formation and auxin transport in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 55:175-187.
- Neuenschwander P C Borgemeister and Langewald J (2003) Biological Control in IPM Systems in Africa. Wallingford, CAB International. P.414.
- Niederhauser JS (1991) *Phytophthora infestans*: the Mexican connection. In:Lucas, J.A., R.C. Shattock., D.S. Shaw., L.R. Cooke, eds. Cambridge University Press,Cambridge, U.K. P. 24-45.
- Nielsen MN Sorenson J and Fels J (1998) Secondary metabolites and endochitinase dependent antagonism towards plant-pathogenic microfungi of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates from sugar beet rhizosphere. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 64:363-369.

- Nyankanga OR Wein HC Olanya OM and Ojiambo PS (2003) Farmers' cultural practices and management of potato late blight in Kenya Highlands: implications for development of integrated disease management. International Pest Management Journal in press.
- Olanya OM E Adipala JJ Hakiza JC Kedera P Ojiambo JM Mukalazi G Forbes and Nelson R (2001) Epidemiology and population dynamics of *Phytophthora infestans* in sub-Saharan Africa: progress and constraints. Afr. Crop Sci. J. 9: 181-193.
- Pfyffer GEC Boraschi-gaia B Weber L Hoesch CG Orpin and Rast DM (1990) A further report on the occurrence of acyclic sugar alcohols in fungi, Mycol. Res, 94:219.
- Pshedetskaya LI (1968) Infection of maple and lime leaves by different races of *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary. Mikol. Fit patol 2:329-330.
- Rahman MA TK Dey MA Ali KM Khalequzzaman and Hussain MA (2008) Control of late blight disease of potato by using new fungicides. *Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod.*,3(2):10-15.
- Reuveni M Agapov V Reuveni R (1997) A foliar spray of micronutrient solutions induces local and systemic protection against powdery mildew (*Sphaerotheca fuliginea*) in cucumberplants. Eur. J. Plant Pathol 103:581-588.
- Robert K and Janes N (1991) Seed Pathology Revised Edition Vol. and II. The Mac Millan Press Ltd. London.
- Römheld V and Marschner H (1991) Function of micronutrients in plants. In: Mortvedt, J.J., Cox, F.R., Shuman, L.M., Welch, R.M. (Eds.), Micronutrients in Agriculture. Soil Science Society of America, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin. USA. P. 297-328.
- Rotem J and Sari A (1983) Fertilization and ageconditioned predisposition of potatoes to sporulation of and infection by *Phytophthorainfestans*. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection 90(1): 83–88.
- SAS Institute Inc. (2003) SAS/STATA Guide for personal computer version 9.1 SAS Institute Inc. carry, NC, USA.
- Schwinn FJ and Margot P (1991) Control with chemicals. Adv. Plant Pathol., 7: 225-265. Shuja, M.A., 1995.
  Efficacy of different fungicides for the control of early and late blight of potato. (Abstr.) Bibliography on Potato in Pakistan: Abstracts and Database, p. 80.
  PSPDP/PARC, Islamabad.Shimla, H.P., and India. pp 85.
- Sharaiha R Haddad N and Blan HA (1989) Potential of row intercropping of faba bean, potato and corn on the incidence and severity of *Alternaria* leaf spot, late blight and rust underthe Jordan valley conditions. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 28:105-112.
- Shattock RC (2002) *Phytophthora infestans*: populations, pathogenicity and phenylamides. Pest Manag Sci. 58:944-950.
- Shaw, D.S. and R.C. Shattock.1991. Genetics of phytophthora infestans: the Mendelian approach.p.218-230 In;Lucas,J . A.,R.C.Shattock.,D.S. Shaw and L.R.Cooke(eds.) phytophthora. Cambridge University press, Cambridge,
- Shuja MA (1995) Efficacy of different fungicides for the control of early and late blight of potato. (Abstr.) Bibliography on Potato in Pakistan: Abstracts and Database, p. 80. PSPDP/PARC, Islamabad. Shutong, W., H.U. Tongle

- Shutong W HU Tongle Z Fengqiao HR Forrer and Keqiang CAO (2007) Screening for plant extracts to control potato late blight. Front. *Agric. China.*, 1(1): 43-46.
- Simons MD (1972) Polygenic resistance to plant disease and its use in breeding resistantcultivars. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 1: 232–240.
- Singh BP Roy S Bhattacharyya Sk and Shekhawat GS (1994) Scheduling of Metalaxylbased fungicides and development of fungicide resistant strains in *Phytophthorainfestans*. In: Potato: Present and Future (Eds. G.S. Shekhawat *et al.,*) Indian Potato Association, CPRI, Shimla, India.
- Singh BP and Shekhawat GS (1999) Potato late blight in India. *Tech. Bull.* No. 27 (revised),CPRI,
- Singh BP Singh PH Jhililmil-Gupta Lokendra- Singh Gupta J and Singh L (2001) Integrated management of late blight under Shimla hills. National Symposium on Sustainability of Potato Revolution in India, Shimla. Journal of the Indian Potato Association 28(1): 84-85.
- Sneh B Dupler M and Elad Y (1984) Chlamydospore germination of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. spp. *cucumerinum* as affected by fluorescent and lytic bacteria from *Fusarium* suppressive soil, Phytopathology 74:115-1124.
- Speiser BL Tamm T Amsler J Lambion C Bertr and Hermansen A (2006) Improvement of late blight management in organic potato production systems in Europe: field tests with more resistant potato varieties and copper based fungicides. *Biolo. Agric. Hort.*, 23: 393-412.
- Stevenson WR (1991) Diseases caused by fungi: late blight. In: Jones, J.B., J.P. Jones, R.E. Stall and T.A. Zitter. (eds.) Compendium of Tomato Diseases, APS Press. P.17-18.
- Tesfaye B (2008) Response of tomato cultivars differing in growth habit to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers and spacing on verity soil in Ethiopia, *Acta Agri.* 91:103-119
- Thind TS Chander-Mohan JS Bedi RK Grewal and Sokhi SS (1989) Role of application time of fungicides in the control of late blight of potato. *Plant Disease Research* 4(2): 113- 117.
- Toquin V Barja F Sirven C Gamet S Mauprivez L Peret P Latorse MP Zundel JL Schmitt F Lebrun MH and Beffa R (2009) Novel tools to identify the mode of action of fungicides as exemplified with fluopicolide, In: Gisi, U Chet I (eds.) *Recent Developments in Management of Plant Diseases.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany. P.19-3.
- Tsakiris E Karafyllidis DI Mansfield J Paraussi G Voyiatazis D and Paronssis E (2002)Management of potato late blight by fungicides. Proceedings of the Second Balkan Symposium on Vegetables and Potatoes, Thessaloniki, Greece. *Acta Horticulture* 579: 567-570.
- Usman Ghazanfar M Shahbaz Talib Sahi Waqas Wakil and Zafar Iqbal (2010)evaluation of various fungicides for the management of late blight of potato(Phythophthora infestans), University College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Department of Plant Pathology,Department of Agricultural Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad
- Van der Plank JE (1963) Plant diseases epidemics and control. *Academic Press*, NewYork, 349pp.
- Van der Plank JE (1968) Disease resistance in plants. Academic Press, New York, USA.

- Van Peer R Niemann GJ Schipper B (1991) Induced resistance and phytoalexin accumulation in biocontrol of *Fusarium* wilt of carnation by *Pseudomonas* sp. strain WCS 417n. Phytopathology, 81:728-734.
- Vawdrey LL Martin TM and De Faveri J (2002) The potential of organic and inorganic soil amendments and a biological control agent (*Trichoderma* sp.) for the management of *Phytophthora* root rot of papaw in far north Queensland. *Australasian Plant Pathology*. 31:391-399.
- Villajuan-Abgona R Katsumo N Kageyama K and Hyakumachi M (1996) Isolation and identification of hypovirulent *Rhizoctonia* isolates from soil. Plant Pathol, 45:496-904.
- Wheeler JBEJ (1969) An Introduction to Plant Diseases, Wiley, London, Pp. 347.
- Woldegiorgis G Gebre E and Lemaga B (2008) Potato breeding. In: Woldegiorgis, G., Gebre, E.,Lemaga, B. (Eds.) Root and Tuber Crops, the Untapped Resources. Addis Ababa. *Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research*. pp. 15-32.
- Wolfe WJ (1988) Ideology, Administration, and Development in Modern Ethiopia. International Development Institute, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.
- Yaynu H (1989) Characteristics of isolates of *Pseudomonas* solanacearum in Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of* Agricultural Science 11:7-13.
- Young DH Spiewak SL and Slawecki RA (2001) Laboratory studies to assess the risk of development of resistance to zoxamide, *Pest Manag Sci*. 57:1081-1087.
- Zentmyer GA (1983) The world of *Phytophthora*, In: Erwin, D.C., S. Bartnicki- Garcia and P.H. Tsao, eds. *Phytophthora*: Its Biology, Taxonomy, Ecology, and Pathology. APS Press The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN. P. 1-7
- Ziogas BN Markoglou AN Theodosiou DI Anagnostou A and Boutopoulou S (2006) A high multi-drug resistance to chemically unrelated oomycete fungicides in *Phytophthora infestans, Eur. J Plant Pathol*, 115:283-292.

© 2017| Published by IJLSCI