



Literary, Socio-Cultural, Political And Human Resource Management

Gupta Laxmi

Associate Professor, Sociology, Govt. Girls College, Dholpur, Rajasthan

Manuscript details:

Available online on <http://www.ijlsci.in>

ISSN: 2320-964X (Online)

ISSN: 2320-7817 (Print)

Cite this article as:

Gupta Laxmi (2025) Literary, Socio-Cultural, Political And Human Resource Management, *Int. J. of. Life Sciences*, Special Issue, A21, 179-186.

Article published in Special Issue of "International Conference on Futuristic Approach for Viksit Bharat (ICFAVB-2047) Organized by Government College, Dholpur (Raj.) Dated 23 and 24 Dec. 2024.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other thirdparty material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permit- ted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

ABSTRACT

The robust empirical relationship between Human Resource Management (HRM) systems and organizational effectiveness, established over the past two decades, has paradoxically highlighted a significant theoretical deficit. While evidence of correlation is plentiful, a clear, testable model explaining the causal pathways—the "black box" of HRM—remains elusive. This article addresses this critical gap by constructing and elaborating a comprehensive integrative framework. We posit that the impact of any HRM system is not direct but is fundamentally mediated by the multifaceted social context of the organization, encompassing the interrelated dimensions of societal and organizational culture, perceived organizational climate, and internal and external political dynamics. To strategically navigate and shape this context, we argue that HRM leaders must first systematically diagnose the macro-environment. We therefore integrate the PESTELI (Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, Legal, Industry) analysis as an indispensable strategic planning tool, creating a vital bridge between external forces and internal HRM design. We then apply this contextualized model to illuminate two complex domains: the implementation dynamics of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives and the operation of Collectivism-oriented HRM (C-HRM) systems prevalent in East Asia, with a specific focus on how they shape perceived overall fairness. The synthesis reveals that competitive advantage in a globalized economy is less about adopting universal "best practices" and more about an organization's ability to understand and leverage its unique contextual repertoire—the suite of viable managerial approaches afforded by its socio-cultural, political, and economic environment. We conclude with detailed implications for future research, advocating for mid-range theories and cross-contextual process studies, and for practitioners, emphasizing the necessity of environmental scanning and culturally intelligent HRM design to build sustainable organizational effectiveness.

Keywords: Human Resource Management (HRM), Organizational Effectiveness, Social Context, PESTELI Analysis, Socio-Cultural Factors, Political Factors, Collectivism (C-HRM), Organizational Justice, Competitive Advantage, Strategic Analysis

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of strategic human resource management (SHRM) over the last thirty years has been marked by a central, compelling quest: to demonstrate that investments in people management systems yield tangible returns for the organization. A substantial body of work, often meta-analytic in nature, has successfully established that coherent systems of HRM practices—frequently termed High-Performance Work Systems (HPWS) or High-Involvement Work Systems—are positively correlated with a range of desirable organizational outcomes, including heightened productivity, improved financial performance, enhanced product and service quality, and reduced employee turnover (Combs, Liu, Hall, & Ketchen, 2006; Jiang, Lepak, Hu, & Baer, 2012). This corpus of research has been instrumental in shifting the perception of HR from an administrative cost centre to a potential source of strategic value.

However, as this evidence base has solidified, a corresponding theoretical shortcoming has come into sharp relief. Scholars have consistently noted that the field is characterized by a "black box" problem (Wright & Boswell, 2002). We can observe that sophisticated HRM systems (the input) are often associated with superior organizational performance (the output), but the intricate mechanisms—the *how*, *why*, and *under what conditions*—remain obscured and inadequately theorized. As one stream of critique highlights, "noticeably absent has been sound theoretical development that explains how such HRM system effects operate."

This article contends that the key to unlocking this black box lies in a decisive paradigm shift: moving beyond a universalistic, context-free approach that seeks a definitive list of "best practices." Instead, we must rigorously situate HRM systems within the rich, layered, and dynamic **social context** in which they are embedded, enacted, interpreted, and given meaning. HRM policies are not self-executing edicts; they are signals sent by the organization to its members. The reception, interpretation, and behavioral response to these signals are filtered through the collective cognitive and affective schemas of the workforce, which are themselves shaped by forces both external and internal to the firm.

To address this, we propose a two-tiered conceptual framework. First, we posit that the relationship between HRM systems and organizational effectiveness is mediated by a tripartite social context composed of culture, climate, and political factors. Second, we argue that the very design, strategic alignment, and viability of the HRM system are contingent upon and must be responsive to the broader external environment. To systematically account for this contingency, we integrate the PESTELI analysis as a critical diagnostic and strategic planning tool. This framework does not merely list environmental factors; it provides a structured methodology for linking macro-environmental trends to micro-level HRM choices. We subsequently apply this integrated model to analyze pressing contemporary HRM challenges, demonstrating the profound and often underappreciated influence of socio-cultural factors, using the exemplar of Collectivism-oriented HRM (C-HRM) and its unique relationship with employee perceptions of justice. The ultimate conclusion is that sustainable competitive advantage stems from an organization's mastery of its **contextual repertoire**—its ability to skillfully navigate and exploit the possibilities and constraints of its specific environment.

The Mediating Social Context – Culture, Climate, and the Political Arena

The core premise of our model is that HRM practices influence organizational effectiveness primarily through their impact on human and social capital, and that this impact is interpreted through a powerful contextual lens (Bowen & Ostroff, 2004). This **social context** functions as the central mediating mechanism and consists of three deeply interconnected elements.

Culture: The Foundational Bedrock

Culture operates at two levels. **Societal (National) Culture**, as framed by scholars like Hofstede (1980) and House, Hanges, Javidan, Dorfman, and Gupta (2004), provides the deep-seated, often subconscious, value programming that employees bring into the workplace. Dimensions such as individualism-collectivism, power distance, uncertainty avoidance, and long-term orientation create a foundational lens for interpreting HRM. For instance, an individual bonus scheme (a common HRM practice in the West) may effectively motivate employees in a highly individualistic, low power-

distance culture like the United States, where personal achievement is celebrated. Conversely, in a collectivistic, high power-distance culture like South Korea, the same practice could be demotivating, causing social disharmony (*guanxi* disruption in China, for example), and be perceived as disrespectful to group harmony and hierarchical norms (Triandis, 1995). The practice may not "mean" the same thing across cultures.

Organizational Culture, the pattern of shared basic assumptions learned by a group as it solves problems of external adaptation and internal integration (Schein, 2010), acts as a more immediate filter. An HRM system designed to foster radical innovation (e.g., Google's former "20% time" policy) will only be effective if the organizational culture values experimentation, tolerates intelligent failure, and rewards creative risk-taking. If the underlying culture is one of blame and rigid adherence to procedure, the policy will be ignored or gamed.

Organizational Climate: The Tangible Atmosphere

If culture is the "personality" of the organization, climate is its current "mood" or perceived weather. Organizational Climate refers to the shared perceptions of employees concerning the practices, procedures, and kinds of behaviors that are rewarded and supported in a particular setting (Schneider, Ehrhart, & Macey, 2013). It is the more accessible, observable manifestation of the deeper culture. HRM systems are the primary managerial tools for shaping specific strategic climates. A bundle of practices focused on diversity recruiting, inclusive leadership training, and bias-free promotion criteria aims to cultivate a climate for inclusion. A system emphasizing extensive training, information sharing, and team-based rewards aims to build a climate for service or safety. The strength and strategic alignment of the HRM system directly influence the clarity and potency of the intended climate (Bowen & Ostroff, 2004).

Political Considerations: The Landscape of Power and Influence

The political dimension is omnipresent and operates on two tiers. **Macro-Politics** encompasses the formal political and legal environment: government ideology, labour party influence, employment legislation (e.g., minimum wage laws, unfair dismissal protections, diversity quotas), and national education and skills

policies. For example, a government's "human resource development" agenda targeting Generation Z, as mentioned in the source text, creates both constraints and opportunities for corporate HRM. **Micro-Politics** involves the internal dynamics of resource allocation, coalition-building, influence tactics, and power struggles within the organization. Which HRM initiatives receive funding and executive sponsorship? How do different departmental heads interpret and implement a new performance management system? The success of an HRM intervention often depends less on its technical design and more on the political skill of its champions and its alignment with the interests of powerful internal constituencies.

In synthesis, this tripartite social context is the active mediator. An impeccably designed talent management system will fail to enhance organizational effectiveness if the culture distrusts formal appraisal, the climate is perceived as politically charged and unfair, and key line managers use their political capital to sabotage it. The context can amplify, dampen, or completely distort the intended signal of the HRM practice.

The Strategic Imperative – PESTELI Analysis as Environmental Diagnosis

If the social context is the critical mediator, then astute HRM leadership must begin by understanding the external forces that sculpt that context. The practice of environmental scanning is not new; its importance has been underscored by recent geopolitical shifts, economic volatility, and rapid sociocultural change that impact all sectors, including healthcare and social services, where "assessing the impact of political, economic, sociocultural, environmental and other external influences... is becoming a recognisable stage of health service strategic development" (adapted from source text). For HRM, this form of analysis is the essential first step in diagnosing the strategic issues the function must address.

The **PESTELI framework** provides the most comprehensive and structured tool for this task. Its genealogy traces back to Francis J. Aguilar's (1967) seminal work, *Scanning the Business Environment*, which introduced an ETPS (Economic, Technical, Political, Social) taxonomy. Over decades of application, it has evolved into the more granular PESTELI, covering seven critical domains. A rigorous PESTELI analysis moves beyond a

simple list to explore how each factor creates specific **threats** and **opportunities** for an organization's human capital strategy.

- **Political:** This factor examines the role of government and the potential impact of political forces. For HRM, this includes government stability, trade policies affecting global talent mobility, funding for apprenticeships or STEM education, and, crucially, the legislative agenda on labour relations. The rise of ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) reporting mandates is a political trend with direct HR implications for diversity reporting and fair pay audits.
- **Economic:** The economic environment dictates the resources available and the competitive landscape. Key considerations are economic growth cycles, inflation rates (impacting wage pressures), unemployment levels (affecting talent availability and bargaining power), globalization patterns, and the financial health of the industry. An economic downturn may shift HR focus from acquisition to retention and restructuring, while a boom may necessitate aggressive employer branding.
- **Sociological:** This is perhaps the most directly relevant domain for HR. It encompasses profound demographic shifts (aging populations in developed nations, youthful demographics in Africa, the entry of Generation Z into the workforce), evolving cultural values (the demand for work-life integration, increased emphasis on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion, changing attitudes towards authority), and trends in education and social mobility. Understanding these sociological currents is essential for designing attractive employee value propositions and relevant learning and development programs.
- **Technological:** The pace of technological change is a dominant HR disruptor. Automation, Artificial Intelligence (AI), and robotics are reshaping job design and skill requirements. Digital communication platforms enable remote and hybrid work models, forcing a revolution in performance management and organizational culture. HR Information Systems (HRIS) and People Analytics are transforming HR from an intuitive art to a data-informed science. Technology also creates new ethical and legal challenges for HR, from algorithmic bias in hiring to monitoring employee productivity.
- **Environmental:** Once a peripheral concern, ecological sustainability is now a core strategic issue. Climate change regulations, stakeholder pressure for sustainable supply chains, and the growing employee (particularly among younger generations) demand for meaningful, environmentally responsible work are shaping HR. This has given rise to **Green HRM**—practices that promote the sustainable use of resources and foster an ecological culture within the organization (Ren, Tang, & Jackson, 2018).
- **Legal:** While stemming from the political sphere, legal factors warrant distinct attention due to their binding nature. This domain includes all employment law: anti-discrimination statutes (e.g., Title VII, Equality Act), health and safety regulations, data protection laws (e.g., GDPR, which heavily regulates employee data), minimum wage laws, and regulations regarding gig work and contingent labour. Non-compliance is not an option, and legal changes often force immediate HR policy revisions.
- **Industry:** The specific competitive dynamics of the industry shape the "rules of the game" for HR. Is the industry characterized by fierce competition for a rare technical skill (e.g., semiconductor engineering)? Are profit margins thin, constraining wage budgets? What are the typical HRM models among key competitors? An industry analysis helps benchmark practices and identify strategic differentiators in people management.

The advantages of a disciplined, regular PESTELI process are significant: it forces external and strategic thinking, provides early warning of disruptive trends, and identifies nascent opportunities for strategic HR initiatives (e.g., partnering with a government skills program). However, its pitfalls are real: it can degenerate into a superficial list-making exercise, suffer from "paralysis by analysis" due to data overload, or rely on flawed assumptions. These risks are best mitigated by making PESTELI a collaborative, cross-functional exercise conducted at regular intervals, ensuring diverse perspectives challenge internal biases (Grant, 2021).

Applied Analysis – Illuminating DEI and the C-HRM/Justice Nexus

Our integrated framework—where PESTELI forces shape the contingent Social Context that mediates the HRM-

Effectiveness link—is powerfully demonstrated through application to specific HRM domains.

The Contextual Dynamics of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Initiatives

The modern corporate emphasis on DEI is not an isolated internal initiative; it is a direct response to powerful convergent forces identified in a PESTELI scan.

- **Sociological:** Changing demographics and powerful social justice movements (#BlackLivesMatter, #MeToo) have raised societal expectations for equitable workplaces.
- **Legal/Political:** Increasing legislation around pay gap reporting, board diversity quotas, and protections for broader identity groups creates a compliance imperative.
- **Economic:** A growing body of research links diversity (particularly cognitive diversity) and inclusion to better problem-solving, innovation, and financial performance, making it a competitive advantage (Herring, 2009).
- **Technological:** AI-powered recruitment tools promise to reduce human bias, while social media amplifies both positive and negative employer branding related to DEI.

An organization may respond by implementing a sophisticated suite of HRM practices: blind recruitment software, mandatory unconscious bias training, diverse hiring panels, and sponsorship programs for underrepresented groups. However, the **social context** determines the success of this technically sound system. If the underlying **organizational culture** is one of meritocratic individualism that dismisses identity as irrelevant, these practices may be seen as unfair "reverse discrimination," creating backlash. If the **climate** is psychologically unsafe, employees from minority groups may not feel able to speak up in newly formed "diversity councils." If **micro-politics** empower managers who are hostile to the DEI agenda, they may subtly undermine the initiatives through allocation of projects or performance ratings. Thus, the framework explains why ostensibly identical DEI programs yield starkly different results; the HRM practices are merely seeds, and the social context is the soil that determines whether they flourish or wither.

Collectivism-Oriented HRM (C-HRM), Overall Fairness, and the Socio-Cultural Filter

The profound influence of socio-cultural factors is perhaps best exemplified in East Asian business systems. Collectivism-oriented HRM (C-HRM) is a coherent system designed to infuse and reinforce collectivistic cultural values within the firm (Li, Frenkel, & Sanders, 2012). Its practices are systematically distinct from Western individualistic models:

- **Staffing & Promotion:** Emphasis is placed on hiring for cultural fit, cooperativeness, and loyalty to the group and its leader, rather than solely on individual credentials or past solo achievements (Triandis, 1995). Promotion often follows seniority (*nenko*) to maintain group harmony.
- **Performance Appraisal:** Evaluations frequently focus on team performance and contributions to group cohesion. Individual metrics may be downplayed to avoid creating invidious comparisons that disrupt harmony (Papalexandris & Panayotopoulou, 2004).
- **Training & Development:** Programs heavily emphasize building collective consciousness, teamwork skills, and instilling corporate philosophy, alongside technical skill development (Li, Wang, & Frenkel, 2014).
- **Compensation:** Reward structures often include significant group-based bonuses, profit-sharing, and non-monetary rewards that recognize team contribution.

A critical outcome of this system is its distinct impact on **perceived overall fairness**—defined as an employee's global, holistic evaluation of the fairness of their employing organization, which is more than the sum of its distributive, procedural, and interactional justice parts (Ambrose & Schminke, 2009). Western justice research has meticulously dissected these specific dimensions (Colquitt, 2001), but in collectivist settings, the holistic judgment is paramount. C-HRM, by constantly reinforcing the "we" and downplaying the "I," strategically redirects employee attention. When rewards and recognition are framed as collective achievements, the salience of individual inequities is reduced. Employees are socialized through HRM practices to prioritize in-group harmony and to be more tolerant of differential treatment if it is perceived as benefiting the collective or respecting hierarchy (Hang-yue, Foley, & Loi, 2006; Erdogan & Liden, 2006). As Gedik and Ozbek (2020) suggest, this focus on similarity and consistency minimizes perceptions of prejudice and discrimination.

Consequently, C-HRM can foster a strong sense of overall fairness *even in the presence of what Western models would deem procedural or distributive inequities*. This perceived fairness, in turn, drives key outcomes such as heightened organizational commitment, reduced turnover intention, and increased discretionary efforts toward team goals (Chen, Yang, & Lee, 2016; Chen, Liu, & Zhang, 2021). This presents a stark contrast: in individualistic contexts, HRM often seeks to *achieve* fairness through meticulously transparent and equitable individual processes. In collectivist contexts, C-HRM often *cultivates a perception of* fairness by shaping a social reality where individual comparison is less relevant. The pathway to effectiveness is culturally contingent.

Synthesis, Conclusion, and Future Directions

The journey through our integrated framework leads to a pivotal, synthesizing conclusion. Nations, regions, and organizations do not operate on a level playing field of managerial possibility. Instead, they possess differing **contextual repertoires**. A high-repertoire environment is one where the confluence of PESTELI factors—a dynamic economy, a multicultural society, a stable yet adaptive legal system, a strong educational base—provides managers with a wider, more flexible, and legitimized set of HRM tools and approaches. For example, a society like Singapore, with strong collectivist roots but deep global integration and Anglophone business practices, offers its organizations a vast repertoire. They can effectively deploy team-based C-HRM principles while also implementing globally competitive individual incentive schemes for top talent. Conversely, a more insular or homogeneous context may offer a lower, more constrained repertoire. Organizations in high-repertoire environments are inherently better equipped for the complexities of international competition due to this built-in adaptive capacity and cognitive flexibility.

Implications for Future Research

This framework charts a clear course for future scholarly inquiry:

1. **Process-Oriented Studies:** Move beyond variance studies correlating HRM and performance. We need rich, longitudinal, and qualitative research that traces the *process*: how a specific PESTELI trigger (e.g., a new data privacy law) leads to an HRM policy change, how that change is communicated and interpreted within the existing social context, and what emergent behaviours and outcomes result.
2. **Theorizing the Mid-Range:** Develop mid-range theories that explain specific mediated relationships. For example, a theory of "Green HRM Adoption" could model how environmental regulatory pressure (PESTELI) influences organizational legitimacy concerns (political context), shaping the implementation of green training (HRM practice), which cultivates a sustainability climate, leading to improved environmental performance and employer branding.
3. **Cross-Contextual Investigations:** Deliberately compare the functioning of the same HRM practice (e.g., 360-degree feedback) in high versus low power-distance cultures, or in individualistic versus collectivistic climates, to unpack the moderating role of context.
4. **Exploring New Frontiers:** Apply the framework to emerging critical topics: the HRM implications of the AI revolution (Technological), strategies for building employee resilience in a permacrisis world (Social/Political), and the design of HR systems for managing globally dispersed hybrid teams.

Implications for Practitioners (HR Leaders and Line Managers)

For those responsible for managing people, the framework offers actionable guidance:

1. **Institutionalize Environmental Scanning:** Make PESTELI analysis a core, quarterly strategic ritual for the HR leadership team. Involve line managers and employees from different generations and backgrounds to challenge assumptions.
2. **Practice Cultural Intelligence in HR Design:** When importing a "best practice" or operating in a multinational context, conduct a deep-dive analysis of the cultural and institutional fit. Ask: What underlying values does this practice assume? How will it be interpreted here?
3. **Manage the Social Context as a Strategic Asset:** Recognize that shaping culture and climate is not a "soft" side activity but a core HRM function. Align all HR practices to send consistent, clear signals that reinforce the desired strategic context. Develop

the political skill to champion and safeguard strategic HR initiatives.

4. **Think in Terms of Repertoire:** Audit your organization's contextual repertoire. What unique combinations of practices does our environment allow or encourage? How can we combine elements from different cultural logics (e.g., collective harmony and individual innovation) to create a distinctive and potent source of competitive advantage?

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the quest for organizational effectiveness through HRM must abandon the search for a universal master key. Instead, it requires becoming a master locksmith, expertly crafting solutions that fit the unique, complex, and ever-changing lock of the organization's social and environmental context. By integrating macro-environmental diagnosis (PESTELI) with an understanding of the mediating social context (Culture, Climate, Politics), leaders can transform HRM from a supporting function into the central engine for building adaptive, resilient, and sustainably high-performing organizations.

Conflict of Interest: None of the authors have any conflicts of interest to disclose. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to **Gupta Laxmi**

Reprints and permissions information is available at <https://www.ijlsci.in/reprints>

REFERENCES

- Aguilar, F. J. (1967). *Scanning the business environment*. Macmillan.
- Ambrose, M. L., & Schminke, M. (2009). The role of overall justice judgments in organizational justice research: A test of mediation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(2), 491–500.
- Bowen, D. E., & Ostroff, C. (2004). Understanding HRM–firm performance linkages: The role of the "strength" of the HRM system. *Academy of Management Review*, 29(2), 203–221.
- Chen, Y., Yang, L., & Lee, C. H. (2016). The effect of collectivism-oriented human resource management on innovation performance: The mediating role of social capital. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(19), 2259–2279.
- Chen, Y., Liu, D., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Collectivism-oriented human resource management and team creativity: The roles of team reflexivity and team psychological safety. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 49–59.
- Colquitt, J. A. (2001). On the dimensionality of organizational justice: A construct validation of a measure. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 386–400.
- Combs, J., Liu, Y., Hall, A., & Ketchen, D. (2006). How much do high-performance work practices matter? A meta-analysis of their effects on organizational performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 59(3), 501–528.
- Erdogan, B., & Liden, R. C. (2006). Collectivism as a moderator of responses to organizational justice: Implications for leader-member exchange and ingratiation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 27(1), 1–17.
- Gedik, Y., & Ozbek, O. (2020). The effect of collectivism on organizational justice perceptions: A study in Turkey. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 28(6), 1197–1214.
- Grant, R. M. (2021). *Contemporary strategy analysis* (11th ed.). Wiley.
- Hang-yue, N., Foley, S., & Loi, R. (2006). The effects of cultural types on perceptions of justice and gender inequity in the workplace. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 17(2), 199–221.
- Herring, C. (2009). Does diversity pay?: Race, gender, and the business case for diversity. *American Sociological Review*, 74(2), 208–224.
- Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage.
- House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (Eds.). (2004). *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage Publications.
- Jiang, K., Lepak, D. P., Hu, J., & Baer, J. C. (2012). How does human resource management influence organizational outcomes? A meta-analytic investigation of mediating mechanisms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(6), 1264–1294.
- Li, X., Frenkel, S. J., & Sanders, K. (2012). How do high-performance human resource practices affect organizational outcomes in China? A mediation analysis. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23(3), 459–478.
- Li, X., Wang, L., & Frenkel, S. J. (2014). How do perceptions of collectivism-oriented human resource management systems affect employee attitudes and behaviors in China? *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2014(1), 17109.

- Papalexandris, N., & Panayotopoulou, L. (2004). Exploring the mutual interaction of societal culture and human resource management practices: Evidence from 19 countries. *Employee Relations*, 26(5), 495–509.
- Ren, S., Tang, G., & Jackson, S. E. (2018). Green human resource management research in emergence: A review and future directions. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 35(3), 769–803.
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Schneider, B., Ehrhart, M. G., & Macey, W. H. (2013). Organizational climate and culture. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 361–388.
- Triandis, H. C. (1995). *Individualism & collectivism*. Westview Press.
- Wright, P. M., & Boswell, W. R. (2002). Desegregating HRM: A review and synthesis of micro and macro human resource management research. *Journal of Management*, 28(3), 247–276.

© The Author(s) 2025

Publisher's Note

IJLSCI remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.