

Open Access

Assessment of Zooplankton of Karadkhed Dam, District Nanded, Maharashtra, India

Pawar SK

Department of Zoology, Gramin Mahavidyalaya, Vasantnagar, (kotgyal), Tq. Mukhed Dist. Nanded, (M.S.) India

Manuscript details:

Received :18.06. 2018 Revised : 28,07. 2018 Accepted : 19.09. 2018 Published : 30.09. 2018

Editor: Dr. Arvind Chavhan

Cite this article as:

Pawar SK (2018) Assessment of Zooplankton of Karadkhed Dam, District Nanded, Maharashtra, India, *Int. J. of. Life Sciences*, Volume 6(3): 825-828.

Copyright: [©] Author, This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial - No Derives License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Available online on http://www.ijlsci.in ISSN: 2320-964X (Online) ISSN: 2320-7817 (Print) genera representing various group. 11 species of Cladocera, 03 species of Ostracoda, 07 species of Copepoda, 10 species of Rotifera. Among Zooplankton particularly Cladocera was the dominant group throughout the study. The highest count of 141 species was record in the month of May.

Key words- Karadkhed dam, Zooplankton, Rotifers, Cladocera, Ostracoda, Copepoda.

INTRODUCTION

ABSTRACT

Zooplankton by their heterotrophic activity play a key role in the cycling of organic materials in aquatic ecosystems and are used as bioindicators of environmental quality (Dede and Deshmukh, 2015, Pawar 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b). The seasonal fluctuations of Zooplankton populations can be expressed by various quantitative parameters such as population density, biomass and biochemical compound (Jose et al., 2015, Pawar, 2017c, 2017d, 2018a, 2018b). The planktonic study is very useful tool for the assessment of water quality in any type of water body and also contributes to an understanding the basic nature and general economy of the lake and reservoir; plankton are occur in all natural as well as artificial impoundments like lakes, ponds, tanks, reservoirs and irrigation cannels, etc., Kehayias et al. (2014), (Pawar, 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b). Plankton is an important component of ecosystem. Zooplankton community is also very sensitive to environmental changes and thus is of considerable. Potential value as water quality indicators (Manickam et al., 2014) Pawar, 2017a, 2017b, 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b). Zooplankton plays an important role in indicating the water quality, eutrophication status and productivity of a fresh water body. The plankton not only increase fish production but also help in bioremediation of heavy metals and other toxic material (Watkar and Barbate, 2013, Smitha et al., 2013, Pawar 2017a, 2017b, 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b). Zooplankton biodiversity serves as an ecological indicator of aquatic environment due to their rapid response according to environmental changes (Pandey et al., 2004, Narasimha Rao and

Jaya Raju, 2001, Pawar 2017a, 2017b, 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b). Zooplanktons are often an important link in the transfer of energy from producers to aquatic carnivores. Zooplankton is a good indicator of changes in water quality because it is strongly affected by environmental conditions and responds quickly to changes in physical and chemical conditions as well as environmental conditions. Zooplanktons are reported to accumulate chemical through food intake and direct absorption of chemical from water (Pandey et al., 2004, Narasimha Rao and Jaya Raju, 2001, Pawar 2017a, 2017b, 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b). The Zooplankton can also play an important role in indicating the presence or absence of certain species of fishers or in determining the population densities. The Zooplankton which play role of converting phytoplankton in to food suitable for fish and aquatic animals, have acquired ecological aspects of Zooplankton. Several workers Dede and Deshmukh (2015), Jose et al. (2015), Pawar, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c, 2017d, 2017e, 2018a, 2018b, Kehayias et al. (2014), Manickam (2015), Manickam et al. (2014), Watkar and Barbate (2013), Smitha et al. (2013), Pandey et al. (2004), Narasimha Rao and Jaya Raju (2001).

The present investigation have been undertaken to study an assessment of Seasonal Changes in Zooplankton biodiversity in Karadkhed dam. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of phytoplankton assessment were carried out. The height of the dam above lowest foundation is 19 m. (62 ft.) while the length is 1,454 m. (4,770 ft.). The volume content is 498 km³ (119 cu. mi) and gross storage capacity is 12,000,00 km³ (2,878,95 cu. mi). It is situated near Karadkhed which is 12 km. away from sub-district headquarter Deglur and 93 km. away from district headquarter Nanded, Maharashtra, India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The methods for the collection preservation and enumeration of plankton have been described monthly samples of Zooplankton were collected from Jun 2016 to May 2017 from four stations (A,B,C and D) by using plankton net of mesh size 41 μ m. plankton samples, were collected from four fixed stations between 8:00 A.M. to 11:00 A.M. the sample were transferred to 500 ml. capacity plastic bottles and preserved using 4% formalin solution. Standard fauna and other literature was used for identification of different Zooplankton species. APHA (1989), Pennak (1989). The number of Planktons Perliter was determined using Sedgwick rafter cell by taking 1 ml of approximately diluted sample and the observation was reported number of Zooplanktons per liter.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prominent group of Zooplankton identified during present study were Cladocera, Ostracoda, copepoda and Rotifera. The list of Zooplanktons observed is given below:

Cladocera: Ceriodaphin laticaudata, C. cumuta, Alonarectangula richardisars, Moina brachiata jurine., M. micrura, Daphinia, Bosminia, Chydorus sp., Pseudosida sp., Simocephalus,Sida sp.

Ostracoda: Strandesia, Stenocypris, Cypris.

Copepoda: Cyclops sp., C. sternuus Argulusfoliaceous, Mesocyclops sp., Microcyclops sp., Heliodioptomus sp., Nauplius.

Rotifera: Asplancha, A.intermedia, Brachious durgae, B. calyciflorus, B. falcatus typical, B.Calyciflours vandoreas, B.rubens, Filinia bory., F. terminals, Keratella, Philodena. Notholea sp.,



Fig. 1 : Map of Khardkhed Map (Credite Google map)

Int. J. of Life Sciences, Vol. 6(3) July -September, 2018

Zooplankton	Station	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	Tota
Group														
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Cladocera	А	32	15	25	13	06	10	17	21	11	25	29	35	239
	В	29	17	23	09	08	12	21	19	14	21	32	37	242
	С	27	14	20	11	10	09	18	17	12	19	28	35	220
	D	30	16	18	15	09	13	22	20	15	22	33	34	247
Total		118	62	86	48	33	44	78	77	52	87	122	141	948
Ostracoda	А	07	08	03	04	06	04	07	12	13	09	07	09	89
	В	05	05	04	03	08	06	04	08	11	08	10	06	78
	С	09	06	02	05	05	03	05	12	15	06	12	08	88
	D	04	07	01	03	03	05	02	11	09	05	04	07	61
Total		25	26	10	15	22	18	18	43	48	28	33	30	316
Copepoda	А	30	13	02	05	07	11	12	09	13	18	23	28	171
	В	32	15	03	03	06	13	18	11	08	16	25	26	176
	С	29	17	01	06	05	10	20	08	10	20	22	24	172
	D	27	12	02	03	06	09	17	06	11	22	20	27	162
Total		118	57	08	17	24	43	67	34	42	76	90	105	681
Rotifera	А	35	14	13	10	07	11	13	10	14	18	25	30	200
	В	32	18	10	07	08	08	15	12	13	20	21	32	196
	С	29	13	11	06	10	12	17	08	12	17	26	29	190
	D	36	12	14	12	11	09	12	07	15	19	19	35	201
Total		132	57	48	35	36	40	57	37	54	74	91	126	787
Grand Total		393	202	152	115	115	145	220	191	196	265	336	402	273

Assessment of Zooplankton of Karadkhed Dam

Table 1: Assessment of Zooplankton (count/ml.) Of Karadkhed Dam During the Year June 2016 to May 2017.

827

The monthly variations in the density of different groups of Zooplanktons is shown in the table.

The amount of natural food in the dam is the most important parameter determining the efficiency of supplementary feed intake by fish by growth. The present observation is similar to those observation made by other workers. Ramakrishna (2014) ABDAR (2015), JHA and SINGH (2007), (2008), Pulle (2000), Ansari (1993), Chakraborty (2004), SREELATHA (2007), SAHU *et al.* (2007), PATEL *et al.* (2015).

REFERENCES

- Abdar MR (2015) Diversity of Zooplanktas in Mornalake, Estern Ghati, Maharashtra. Flora and Fauna 21 (1): 45-54.
- Ansari MA (1993) Hydrobiological Studies of Godavari river water at Nanded, Ph.D. Thesis, Marathwada University Aurangabad.
- APHA (1998) Standard Method for the Examination of Waste Water, American Public Health Association, Washington DC: 874.
- Chakraborty S (2004) Biodiversity, The Diamond Printing Press, Jaipur, India, P. 136.
- Dede AN and Deshmukh AL (2015) Study on Zooplankton composition and seasonal variation in Bhima River near Ramwadi Village, Solapur District (Maharashtra), India. International Journal of Current Microbiology & Applied Sciences., 4 (3), 297-306.
- Jha AK And Singh SR (2008) on the water quality of an Ox-bow lake Dah-Reoti in relation to fishery. Ecol. Env and Cons. 14 (1): 191-198.
- Jha AK, Singh SP and Singh SR (2007) Population Dynamics and Seasonal abundance of Phytoplankton in an Ox-bow lake Dah-Reoti, J.Appl.Biosc.33 (2):174-179.
- Jose EC, Furio EF, Borja VM, Gatdula NC and Santos DM (2015) Zooplankton composition and abundance and its relationship with physico-chemical parameters in Manila Bay. Oceanography, 3 (1), 1-6.
- Kehayias G, Chalkia E and Doulka E (2014) Zooplankton variation in five greek lakes. In G. Kehayias (Ed.), Zooplankton, (pp. 85-119). Nova Science Publishers, Inc. New York.
- Manickam N (2015) Biodiversity of plankton in two perennial lakes of Coimbatore, India and suitability of Wild mixed zooplankton as live feed for rearing of the giant freshwater prawn Macrobrachium rosenbergii early post larvae, (pp. 1-145).
- Manickam N, Bhavan PS, Santhanam P, Muralisankar T, Srinivasan V, Radhakrishnan S et al. (2014) Seasonal variations of zooplankton diversity in a perennial reservoir at Thoppaiyar, Dharmapuri District, South India. Journal of Aquaculture & Marine Biology., 1 (1), 1-7.
- Narasimha Rao P and Jaya Raju PB (2001) Limnological Investigations and Diversity of Plankton in Sewage – Fedfish Culture Pond at Nambur near Guntur A.P. India. J. Aqua. Biol. Vol. 16 (1 and 2): 11-14.
- Pandey BN, Hussain S, Jha AK and Shyamanand (2004) Seasonal fluctuation of Zooplanktonic Community in relation to certain Physico-Chemical Parameters of river Ramjan of Kishanganj, Bihar. Nature, Env. and Poll. Tech. 3 (3): 325-330.

- Patel Ye, Shaikh Hm And Patel Ng (2015) Sesonal Variations in density and diversity of Zooplanktas of Waghur dam near Jalgaon (M.S.) India. Flora and Fauna 21 (1) : 24-30.
- Pawar SK (2017a) Physico-chemical analysis of water in Vishnupuri dam, Nanded (M.S.) India,, Int. J. of. Life Sciences, Volume 5(4): 754-757.
- Pawar SK (2017b) Fish diversity in relation to fish economics of Isapur dam, from Pusad, Yavatmal District (Maharashtra), India, *Int. J. of. Life Sciences*, Volume 5(1): 133-136.
- Pawar SK (2017c) Population kinetics and seasonal fluctuation of phytoplankton of Vishnupuri dam, Nanded district, (M.S) India. Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering,; 5 (3): 231-234.
- Pawar SK (2017d) Population kinetics and seasonal fluctuation of zooplankton of Vishnupuri dam, Nanded district, (M.S) India. Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering, 5 (3): 227-230.
- Pawar SK (2017e) The study on fish diversity in the Vishnupuri dam, Nanded (M.S.) India, Int. J. of. Life Sciences, Volume 5(1): 137-139.
- Pawar SK (2017f) Water quality assessment of Vishnupuri dam, in Nanded District, Maharashtra, India, *Int. J. of. Life Sciences*, Volume 5(4): 758-761.
- Pawar SK (2018a) Assessment of phytoplankton of Karadkhed Dam, District Nanded, Maharashtra, India. *Int. Res. Journal* of Science & Engineering, (2): 137-140.
- Pawar SK (2018b) Determination of physico-chemical para meters of Vishnupuri Dan, Nanded District, Maharashtra, India. Int. Res. Journal of Science & Engineering, 6 (1): 26-30.
- Pulle JS (2000) Biomonitoring of Isapur Dam Water. Ph.D. Thesis, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded.
- Ramakrishna S (2014) Zooplankton seasonal abundance in relation to physicochemical feature in Yelahanka Lake, Bangalore, India. Global Journal For Research Analysis., 3 (6), 218-219.
- Sahu KR, Sao R and Dixit AK (2007) Diversity and Density of Zooplankton of Pond Krishna Arjuni of Ratanpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.), Life Science Bulletin, 4 (1 and 2): 85-86.
- Smitha P, Shivashankar and Venkataramana GV (2013) Zooplankton diversity of Chikkadevarayana Canal in relation to physico-chemical characteristics. Journal of Environmental Biology., 34, 819-824.
- Sreelatha K (2007) Seasonal Variations of Zooplankton of Goutami Godavari Estuary, Yanam, Pudducherry (Union territory), J. Aqua. Biol., Vol. 22 (2) : 73-76.
- Watkar AM and Barbate MP (2013) Studies on zooplankton diversity of river Kolar Saoner, Dist-Nagpur, Maharashtra. Journal of Life Sciences and Technologies., 1 (1), 26-28.

© 2018 | Published by IJLSCI